



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, (APIC)**  
**ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH**

An apple case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005  
Vide Case No.APIC-676/2023

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF MISS SONAM YUDRON, THE STATE**  
**INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.**

Shri Malin Ebiya

..... **Appellant.**

**-VERSUS-**

PIO-Cum-EE, PHED, Daporijo,  
U/Subansiri District,  
Arunachal Pradesh

..... **Respondent.**

**Judgment/Order:    04.12.2023.**

**JUDGMENT/ORDER**

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI Act, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the appellant Shri Malin Ebiya on 13.09.2023 filed an RTI application under Form-A before the PIO-Cum-EE, Department PHED, Daporijo, Upper Subansiri District, Arunachal Pradesh, whereby, seeking various information, as quoted in Form-A application. Appellant, being not satisfied with the information received from the PIO, filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 12.06.2023. Appellant, again having not received the required information from the FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on 25.07.2023 and the Registry of the Commission (APIC), having receipt of the appeal, registered it as **APIC No. 676/2023** and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission for 2 (two) consecutive times on 16.10.2023 & 04.10.2023. In this final hearing of the appeal on 4<sup>th</sup> day of December,2023. Both the parties is found absent during the hearing. However, the PIO detailed his representative namely Er. Hillang Raj, JE.

The representative of the PIO intimated to the Commission that he has brought all the information to handed over to the appellant during the hearing.

In this, context it is pertinent to point out herein that the appellant has remained absent in the hearing for two consecutive times w.e.f dated on **16.10.2023 & 04.12.2023** and to the effect that whether the Appellant has received all the information or has received incomplete information he has not intimated any of the reason to the Commission. **In spite of repeated direction given by the Commission/Court in every hearing to intimate regarding the satisfaction or dissatisfaction after receipt of the information. But the appellant remained silent. So, I find that the appellant is no more interested on the APIC No-676/2023 appeal for further hearing.**

In such viewing the fact and circumstances, I have a reason for believing of the fact that the Appellant has fully received all the information sought from the PIO and Satisfied. Thus, I find this appeal fit to be disposed of as infructuous to continue the hearing.

So, the appeal is disposed of as infructuous and closed once for all.

Judgment/Order pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 4<sup>th</sup> day of December 2023.

Each copy of Judgment/Order be furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission/Court on this 4<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2023.

Sd/-  
(Sonam Yudron)  
State Information Commissioner  
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo.No.APIC-676/2023/1381

Dated Itanagar, the 15<sup>th</sup> Feb, 2024.

Copy to:

1. PIO-Cum-EE, PHED, Daporijo, U/Subansiri District, Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please.
2. Shri Malin Ebiya, Vill-Ebiya, PO/PS-Nacho Circle, U/Subansiri District, A.P for information and necessary action please.
3. The Computer Operator/Programmer for upload on the Website of APIC, please.
4. Office Copy.

Registrar  
APIC, Itanagar.  
Registrar  
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission  
Itanagar.