



**ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH**

**An appeal case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005**

**Vide Case No.APIC-237/2024**

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI VIJAY TARAM, THE STATE  
INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.**

Shri Takam Dado Kagung

..... Appellant

**-VERSUS-**

PIO-Cum-Divisional Forest Officer,  
Palin, Kra-Daadi District,  
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh

..... Respondent.

**Order: 11.02.2025.**

**JUDGEMENT**

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI Act, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the Appellant Shri Takam Dado Kagung on **07/06/2024** filed an RTI application under Form-'A' before the PIO-Cum-Divisional Forest Officer, Palin, Kra-Daadi District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh whereby, seeking various information, as quoted in Form-A application. The Appellant, being not satisfied with the information received from the PIO, filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **26/07/2024** the Appellants, again having not received the required information(s) from the FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on **26/08/2024** and the Registry of the Commission (APIC), having receipt of the Appeal registered it as **APIC No.237/2024** and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission for twice i.e on **28/11/2024 & 11/02/2025**. In this hearing of the appeal on 11<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2025, both the parties found present during the hearing.

**Heard both the parties.**

The Appellant state d before the Commission that he has received some of the information(s) from the PIO as sought in his form "A" application but some information(s) have not been provided yet, despite of the PIO's statement in the last hearing that it would be provided to the Appellant.

The representative of the PIO stated before the Commission that the PIO's office is not yet functioning regularly at Kra-Daadi, sometimes it operates from Naharlagun and sometimes at Palin. Due to the constant shifting of the office, there is difficulty in furnishing the informations to the Appellant.

After hearing both the parties and going through form "A" application the Commission hereby order;

- (i) The PIO to provide all the informations to the Appellant within 30 days.

- (ii) The PIO shall submit a compliance report to this Commission upon fulfillment of this order within 7 days of compliance.
- (iii) The Appellant to collect the information(s) from the office of the PIO. **And in the event that the information(s) are not received completely, He is at liberty to file a fresh application on the same subject.**

Pursuant to the above facts and circumstances the Commission find this appeal fit to be disposed and closed. And, accordingly, this appeal stands disposed and closed once for all.

Judgment/Order pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this **11<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2025**. Copy of Judgment/Order be furnished to the parties

Given under my hand and seal of this Court on this **11<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2025**.

Sd/-

**(Vijay Taram)**

State Information Commissioner  
APIC-Itanagar

**Memo.No.APIC-237/2024**

Copy to:

**Dated Itanagar, the 24<sup>th</sup> February, 2025.**

1. PIO-Cum-Divisional Forest Officer, Palin Div. Kra-Daadi District, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please. **Pin Code- 791118.**
2. Shri Takam Dado Kagung, R/o Kudum Barang, Palin, Kra-Daadi District Arunachal Pradesh for information please. **Contact No. 6909665389/6909662248**
3. The Computer Programmer, APIC for uploading on the Website of APIC please.
4. Office Copy.

Registrar/Dy. Registrar  
APIC, Itanagar.

**Registrar**  
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission  
Itanagar