



## RUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION ITANAGAR.

Appeal U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 Case No. APIC- 10/2024.

Shri Tania June, E-Sector, Naharlagun, PO/PS Naharlagun.

:APPELLANT

Vs

The PIO, o/o Executive Engineer (PWD), Capital Division (B), Itanagar (A.P).

:RESPONDENT

## **ORDER**

This is an appeal under section 19(3) converted from Complaint under Section 18(1) of RTI Act, 2005 received from Shri Tania June for denial of information by the PIO, o/o the Executive Engineer (PWD), Capital Division (B), Itanagar, (A.P) as sought for by him under section 6(1) (Form-A) of RTI Act, 2005 vide his application dated 12.09.2024.

The Complainant/ appellant had sought for the following information:-

i) Particular of information:

Details of present staff under Group-B / Group-C/WC T / WC R / UDC / LDC / PEON / Driver / Contingency / all MTS Post etc. Give the document of all employees currently working in your office establishment.

- ii) Details of information required:
  - (1) Joining report copies;
  - (2) Class-X Pass certificate copies;
  - (3) Seniority list copies;
  - (4) Appointment order copies;
  - (5) Scheduled Tribe (ST) Certificate copies and
  - (6) Class-XII Pass certificate copies.
- (iii) Period from which information asked for:2018 to till date.

It revealed from the record that the complainant/appellant, having been denied the information, filed complaint under Section 18 of RTI Act 2005 before this Commission vide complaint dated 14/11/24.

Records also revealed that in response to the RTI Application dated 12/09/24, the PIO, o/o the E.E (PWD), Capital Division-B, vide letter dated 24/10/24 signed by the APIO CUM-ASW, informed the complainant/appellant that the information/documents requested by him is indiscriminate the collection of which will take considerable time besides the documents being covered under section 8 (1) (j) of the RTI Act. The information sought by the complainant/appellant was, thus, denied to him citing this Commission's advisory dated 08/05/24.

The Complainant/appellant, dissatisfied with the reply of the PIO as above, filed complaint before this Commission which was heard on 18/12/24 wherein Er. Shri Joram Takar, Asstt. Er. -cum- APIO, o/o the E.E (PWD), Capital Division-B and the complainant, Shri Tania June were present in person.

Since the complainant's/appellant's primary request to the PIO was for disclosure of information but was denied by the o/o the PIO, this Commission asked the complainant/appellant to clarify his position in response to which he expressed his wish to have his complaint converted into appeal under section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005. This commission, hence, converted the complaint into appeal under the section 19(3) and proceeded with the hearing of the parties and upon hearing the parties this Commission considered the submission of the parties and passed the following directions:

- "a) that the copies of appointment order and joining reports of the staff in the establishment of the Division (Sl.Nos.1 and 4) shall be furnished as those documents can not be said to be exempted under section 8 of the RTI Act.;
- b) that as regards disclosure of educational qualification and ST certificate of the staff (Sl.Nos. 2,5 and 6), the PIO shall take recourse to the procedure prescribed under section 11 of the RTI Act as those documents are personal documents of the staff and
- c) that as regards the seniority list of the staff working under the PIO's Division, the PIO shall collect the same and furnish to the complainant/appellant.

The PIO shall comply with the above direction within 30 days from the receipt of this order and in any case before the next date of hearing which is fixed on 29.01.2025."

In today's hearing, both Er. Shri Joram Takar, Asstt. Er. and the APIO, o/o the E.E (PWD), Capital Division-B and the appellant, Shri Tania June are present. As directed, the APIO, has brought in the sought for information available with the o/o the PIO which has been handed over to the appellant during the course of hearing.

This Commission perused the documents which are found to be in order and as per the request of the appellant. The appellant has also gone through the documents and expressed his satisfaction therewith in view whereof, this appeal warrants no further adjudication and hence, the appeal stands disposed of and closed.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on this 29th January, 2025.

Sd/-(S. TSERING BAPPU) State Information Commissioner, APIC, Itanagar.

Memo No. APIC-10/2024/

Dated Itanagar, the

January, 2025

Copy to:

- 1. The S.E (PWD) (Co-ordn) / SE(PWD) (Capital Circle), Itanagar for information.
- 2. The PIO, o/o the Executive Engineer (PWD), Capital Division-B, Papum Pare District, (A.P) for information.
- 3. Shri Tania June, E-Sector, Naharlagun PIN: 791110 Mobile No. 8131848230 for information.
- 4. The Computer Programmer /Computer Operator for uploading on the Website of APIC, please.
  - 5. Office copy.
  - 6. S/Copy.

Registrar/ Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesk Informagar.

lesh Information Commission