

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI VIJAY TARAM, THE STATE
INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.**

Shri Raju Venia

..... Appellant

-VERSUS-

PIO-Cum-Executive Engineer, PWD.
Itanagar, Papum Pare District,
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh

..... Respondent.

Order:05.02.2026.

JUDGEMENT

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the Appellant Shri Raju Venia, on **28/08/2023** filed an RTI application in Form 'A' before the PIO-cum-Executive Engineer, PWD, Capital Division-B Itanagar Division, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh. Whereby seeking various information as quoted in Form 'A' application. The Appellant being not receiving the information from the PIO filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on 26/06/2023, and even then, the Appellant not receiving the required information from the PIO, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on **18/05/2023** and the Registry of the Commission (APIC) having receipt of the Appeal registered it as APIC-No. **852/2023** (Appeal) and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

In the 5th hearing held on 5rd February 2026, related to the **APIC No-852/2024(Appeal)**. The Appellant Shri Raju Venia, found absent during the hearing without intimating to the Commission the reason for his inability to attend the hearing.

The PIO-cum EE (PWD) Capital Division-B Itanagar, is represented by the APIO Shri Joram Takar AE (PWD), present during the hearing.

Heard the APIO.

The APIO stated that the PIO has already provided the information as sought by the Appellant in his form 'A' on 31/7/2023. After that the Appellant again submitted a new form 'A' while filling the 1st appeal, asking for information for the same work and for the same information simply changing few words.

After hearing the APIO the Commission hereby observe;

- i) The Appellant has been consecutively absent in two hearings which were held on **30/7/2025** as well as today in the **5th hearing held on 5th Feb 2026**, without intimating the reasons for his absence to the Commission.
- ii) The Appellant while making an Appeal in the First Appellate Authority (FAA) filed a new fom 'A' for the same set of information pertaining to the same work with few changes in the words which is not legal after an appeal pending before the Competent Authority as the same Appellant cannot be submitting a new form 'A' for the same set of information.
- iii) The APIO present in all the hearings very sincerely stating that all the information as sought by the Appellant are ready in the office of the PIO and despite being informed to collect the information. The Appellant has never turned up to collect the information.
- iv) The Continuous absence of the Appellant in the hearings even after being duly served with notice for the hearing makes the Commission believe that the Appellant

is not serious on his appeal and also the Appellant is not at all sincere to collect the information, despite being informed by the PIO to collect information.

Pursuant upon the above observations the Commission hereby decide;

- i) The repeated absence of the Appellant despite served with notice for hearing, consecutively implies that the Appellant is not serious on his appeal and despite the repeated intimation from the PIO to the Appellant to collect information as sought, the failure of the Appellant to collect the information says everything about his seriousness in his appeal.
- ii) The Appellant is simply harassing the PIO thereby wasting public resources with vested interest.

Considering all the facts as observed and stated above the Commission hereby dismiss this appeal with an order that the Appellant is not at liberty to file for fresh application for the same set of information.

In view of the above facts and circumstances the Commission dismiss this Appeal ex-parte. And accordingly this Appeal stands dismissed and closed once for all.

Judgment pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this **5th day of February' 2026.**

Copy of this Judgment be furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on **this 5th day of February 2026.**

Sd/-
(Vijay Taram)
State Information Commissioner
APIC-Itanagar

Memo.No.APIC-852/A/2023/1075 Dated Itanagar, the 13th February, 2026.

Copy to:

1. PIO-Cum-Executive Engineer, PWD, Capital Div-B, Itanagar, P/Pare District, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please. **Pin Code:791111**
2. Shri Raju Venia, A-Sector, Naharlagun, P/Pare District Arunachal Pradesh for information please. **Contact No. 8798412249**
3. The Computer Programmer, APIC for uploading on the Website of APIC please.
4. Office Copy

P. Raju Venia
Registrar/Dy. Registrar
APIC, Itanagar.

Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar