



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, (APIC)
ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH**

**An apple case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005
Vide Case No.APIC- 720/2023**

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF MISS SONAM YUDRON, THE STATE
INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.**

Shri Nikam Dabu, C/o M/s B.B.B. Enterprises,
H-Sector, Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh Appellant.

-VERSUS-

PIO-cum-Deputy Director, Deptt. of APEDA, Daporijo
Upper Subansiri District, Arunachal Pradesh, Respondent.

Judgment/Order: 02.02.2024.

JUDGMENT/ORDER

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI Act, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the appellant Shri Nikam Dabu on 22.05.2023 filed an RTI application under Form-'A' before the PIO-O/o the Dy. Director, Department of APEDA, Daporijo, U/ Subansiri District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh whereby, seeking various information, as quoted in Form-A application. The Appellant, being not satisfied with the information received from the PIO, filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 30.06.2023 Appellant, again having not received the required information from the FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on 01.08.2023 and the Registry of the Commission (APIC), having receipt of the appeal, registered it as APIC No. 720/2023 and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission for 2 (two) times i.e. on 21.12.2023 & 02.02.2024. In this hearing of the appeal on 2nd day of February, 2024. The PIO present during the hearing but the appellant found absent. Moreover, the appellant remained absent consecutively dated on 21.12.2023 & 02.02.2024 nor he has intimated the reason of his absence during the hearing.

The PIO intimated to the Commission that he has brought all the information as sought by the appellant in his form A application but due to absence of the appellant the PIO could not hand over the information to the appellant.

During hearing, the Commission tried to contact the appellant through audio hearing but the appellant fail to respond the call.

In this, context it is pertinent to point out herein that the appellant remained absent during the hearing, despite, he was directed by the Commission if he remained

absent during hearing two consecutive times, his appeal shall be decided ex-parte & disposed of. Even, then he remained absent for two consecutive times i.e. on 21.12.2023 & 02.02.2024 , he was also directed by the Commission to present in person before the Commission in the next date of hearing i.e. on 02.02.2024. But the Appellant failed to present himself.

So, I find that the appellant is no more interest on the APIC No.-720/2023 appeal for further hearing.

In such viewing the fact and circumstances, I have a reason for believing of the fact that the Appellant has fully received all the information sought from the PIO and Satisfied. Thus, I find this appeal fit to be disposed of as infructuous to continue the hearing.

So, the appeal is disposed of as infructuous and closed once for all.

Judgment/Order pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 2nd day of February, 2024.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission/Court on this 2nd day of February, 2024.

Sd/-

(Sonam Yudron)

State Information Commissioner

APIC, Itanagar.

Memo.No.APIC-720/2023/1861

Dated Itanagar, the 14th February 2024.

Copy to:

1. The PIO-cum-Deputy Director, Deptt. of APEDA, Daporijo, Uppeer Subansiri, District, Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please.
2. Shri Nikam Dabu, C/o M/s B.B.B. Enterprises, H-Sector, Itanagar Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please.
3. The Computer Programmer for upload on the Website of APIC, please.
4. Office Copy.

Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
APIC, Itanagar