



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, (APIC)  
ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH**

**An apple case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005  
Vide Case No.APIC- 789/2023**

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF MISS SONAM YUDRON, THE STATE  
INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.**

Shri Nabam Nanu and Shri Likha Tadap  
Near Govt. Hr. Sec. School, Doimukh ..... **Appellant.**

**-VERSUS-**

PIO-cum-O/O Director of NCVBDCP, Naharlagun  
Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh, ..... **Respondent.**

**Judgment/Order: 29.01.2024.**

**JUDGMENT/ORDER**

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI Act, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the appellant Shri Nabam Nanu & Shri Likha Tadap on **02.05.2023** filed an RTI application under Form-'A' before the PIO-O/o the Director of National Centre for Vector Borne Diseases Control, Naharlagun, P/Pare District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh whereby, seeking various information, as quoted in Form-A application. The Appellant, being not satisfied with the information received from the PIO, filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on **06.06.2023** Appellant, again having not received the required information from the FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on **16.08.2023** and the Registry of the Commission (APIC), having receipt of the appeal, registered it as **APIC No. 789/2023** and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission for 4 (Four) times i.e. on 04.12.2023, 22.1.2024 & 29.01.2024. In this hearing of the appeal on 29<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2024. Both the parties again found absent during the hearing. However, the PIO detailed his representative Shri Nabam Aka Hina, SI (NVBDCP).

The representative of the PIO intimated to the Commission that the PIO has furnished all the information to the appellants as sought in their Form 'A' application.

The Commission also after going through all the available records /documents found that the PIO has furnished all the information to the appellant. But, the appellants were remained absent consecutively twice from the hearing i.e on 22.01.2024 & 29.01.2024 the appellant also directed to intimate their satisfaction or dissatisfaction to the Commission but the appellants also failed to comply the direction of the Commission.

So, the Commission viewed seriously on the appellant on their reluctant attitude as they failed to comply the directions of the Commission.

The Commission during the hearing, tried to contact the appellant through audio hearing but they failed to respond the call.

In this, context it is pertinent to point out herein that the appellants remained absent during the hearing. And, they failed to intimate their satisfaction or dissatisfaction to the Commission. Moreover, they remained absent at their own will for two consecutive times i.e. dated on **22.01.2024 & 29.01.2024**, inspite of the direction of the Commission to the appellants after going through the information to intimate his satisfaction or dissatisfaction to the Commission in the last hearing, and also asked them to appear in person before the Commission in the next date of hearing i.e. on 29/01/2024. But Appellants failed to comply the direction of the Commission and they remained silent though they were intimated well in advance, if they remained twice absent during the hearing their appeal shall be decided ex-parte and disposed of. Even then, they remained absent consecutively twice the dates are mentioned above.

So, I find that the appellants are no more interest on the APIC No-789/2023 appeal for further hearing.

In such viewing the fact and circumstances, I have a reason for believing of the fact that the Appellants fully received all the information sought from the PIO and Satisfied. Thus, I find this appeal fit to be disposed of as infructuous to continue the hearing.

So, the appeal is disposed of as infructuous and closed once for all.

Judgment/Order pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 29<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2024.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission/Court on this 29<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2024.

Sd/-  
(Sonam Yudron)  
State Information Commissioner  
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo.No.APIC-789/2023/1371  
Copy to:

Dated Itanagar, the 29<sup>th</sup> February 2024.

1. The PIO O/o Director of NCVBDCP(Health Services), Naharlagun, Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please.
2. Shri Nabam Nanu and Shri Likha Tadap, Near Govt. Hr. Sec. School, Doimukh Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please.
3. The Computer Programmer for upload on the Website of APIC, please.
4. Office Copy.

  
Registrar/Dy. Registrar  
APIC, Itanagar  
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission  
Itanagar