



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION (APIC)
ITANAGAR**

.....
(Before the Hon'ble Information Commissioner Mr. Genom Tekseng)

AN APPEAL UNDER SECTION 19 (3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.

APIC-No.208/2023(Appeal)

Sh. Kalen Tadeng, Boleng, PO/PS:
Boleng, Siang District, Arunachal
Pradesh. (M) 8256924287. **Pin:**
791102.

Appellant

-Versus-

The PIO-Cum-EE, PHED, Pangin
Division, Siang District Arunachal
Pradesh.
Pin: 791102.

Respondent

Date of hearing: 03.11.2023

Date of decision: 03.11.2023.

FACTS OF THE CASE:

The appellant filed an RTI application dated **13.12.2022** seeking information on various works under **SSC and CSS executed by the PHED Pangin**. The PIO did not furnish any reply to the RTI application. The appellant then filed a first Appeal dated **16.01.2023**. First Appellate Authority's (FAA) order, if any, is not available on record. Feeling aggrieved, the appellant approached the commission with the instant second Appeal.

After receipt of the second Appeal notices were issued to the parties to appear on **09.06.2023**.

The appellant appeared on 09.06.2023 and submitted that the PIO did not furnish any reply in response to his application. The PIO did not appear before the commission to plead his case in person or through his representative.

The commission adjourned the case to 01.09.2023 with direction to the PIO to furnish point-wise reply to the appellant within 3 weeks from the issue of the said order.

None appeared before the commission on 01.09.2023 despite service of notice/ summons in advance. The commission after perusing the record on the case file directed the PIO to comply with the order dated 09.06.2023 within 3 weeks and send compliance report to that effect to the commission. Hearing of the case was adjourned to 03.11.2023.

DECISION:

None appears before the commission. However, the commission has received a letter dated Nill from the appellant wherein he has stated that the PIO has provided complete information and expressed satisfaction regarding information furnished by the PIO. Keeping in view the facts of the case and the written submissions received from the appellant, the commission is of the opinion that complete information, though late, has been provided by the respondent PIO. The appellant has also showed satisfaction with the information provided. Hence, no further intervention of the commission is required in the matter. The instant appeal stands **disposed of accordingly**.

Copy of the order be supplied to the parties

Sd/-

(Genom Tekseng)

Information Commissioner

Memo No.APIC-208/2023/1114

Dated Itanagar the 30..November' 2023.

Copy to:

1. Computer Programmer, Itanagar, APIC, to upload in APIC, website please.
2. Office copy.

Registrar/Dy.Registrar,
APIC, Itanagar

Deputy Registrar

Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar