सत्यमेव जयते

ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION
ITANAGAR.

An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005
Case No. APIC- 710/2025.

APPELLANT : Shri Nabam Jairam, Landung Balijan.
RESPONDENT :The PIO, o/o the EE (PWD), Doimukh Division, Doimukh.

ORDER

This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 received from Shri Nabam Jairam for non-furnishing of 13(thirteen) point information c/o widening and strengthening road from Itanagar to Jote under RIDF-XXVI 20 km - 2021 by the PIO, o/o the EE (PWD), Doimukh Division, Papum Pare District Arunachal Pradesh as sought for by him under section 6(1) (Form-A) of RTI Act, 2005 vide his application dated 14.04.2025.

This appeal was heard on 24.12.2025 and on 28.01.26.

On 24.12.2025 the PIO was absent but the appellant, Shri Nabam Jairam was present in person. During the course of hearing this Commission perused the information/replies furnished by the PIO vide letters dt.21.07.2025 and dt.25.08.2025.

The observation of this Commission vis-à-vis the replies/documents furnished by the PIO and appellant's grievances /complaints thereto were also recorded during the course of hearing as under:

S.No.	Details of information sought	Appellant's complaint / Commission's observation
1	As per D.P.R it is mentioned that quality control and 0.25 percent road safety is considered, please justify?	Appellant is satisfied with the replies. Observation: *Query has become infructuous as the appellant is satisfied with the reply.*
2	Furnish the name of Agency/Department of quality control works and report sign by the concerned agency/Department	Complaint: Not satisfied with the reply as the quality control is to be carried out by an agency other than the contractor executing the work. Observation: An appropriate reply needs to be furnished by way of an affidavit.
3	Furnish the name of Agency/Department of road safety works.	Complaint: No specific complaint. Observation: The appellant to seek Specific reply/information.
4	As per D.P.R it is mentioned that consultancy charges at 0.5%, please furnish Consultant work order/letter of issued and name of consultant payment received by them or cheque photo?	Complaint: Not satisfied with the reply. Observation: An appropriate and specific reply to be furnished.
5	Furnish the soil test report on 6 [six] bridges and payment receipt by Agency/Department.	Complaint: Not satisfied with the reply. Observation: An appropriate and specific reply to be furnished.

6	As per D.P.R, please provide Challan copy of Materials purchase and give site inspection book handled by engineer concerned.	Complaint: Not satisfied with the reply. Observation: An appropriate and specific reply to be furnished by way of an affidavit.
7	Furnish Technical Sanction copy of the said project from Chief Engineer.	Appellant is satisfied with the replies. Observation: *Query has become infructuous as the appellant is satisfied with the reply.*
8	Furnish the 10 m or 30 m each widening quality as it is not cleared in B.O.Q and rate of quantity and mentioned each chainage & widening quality.	Appellant is satisfied with the replies. Observation: *Query has become infructuous as the appellant is satisfied with the reply.*
9	Furnish the estimated of all the firm participated in details and provide rate statement of L1, L2 and 13.	Complaint: Not satisfied with the reply. Observation: An appropriate and specific reply to be furnished by way of an affidavit.
10	Furnish the details of additional amount [403 lakhs Approx) of substitute items.	Same as above
11	Furnish the details of Extra Deviation item amount [10.6 cr. approx.) or what ground deviation was carried out?	Same as above
12	Furnish tendered B.O.Q of the Winning Firm	Appellant is satisfied with the replies. Observation: *Query has become infructuous as the appellant is satisfied with the reply.*
13	Agreement was carried out as per tender called by Department, extra work carried out by site condition, Give justification? And Furnish letter copy of exchange between department and contractor and the approved copy of Chief Engineer or competent authority.	*Appellant did not press.*
14	Furnish the Bill Voucher of Traffic Furniture and making of road.	Complaint: Not satisfied with the reply. Observation: An appropriate and specific reply to be furnished by way of an affidavit.

This Commission, with the observation as above, thus concluded that the appellant is entitled to be furnished the requested information correctly and satisfactorily under the RTI Act and it is the requirement of the Act under section-7(8)(i) of the RTI Act that when an information is denied to the applicant, the reason thereof has to be communicated to the applicant to his satisfaction and that as mandated by section-18(3)(c) of the RTI Act, 2005 and under rule- 5(vi) of the AP Information Commission (Appeal Procedure) Rules, 2005, the submission/reply of the PIO has to be declared/supported by way of an affidavit.

The PIO was, therefore, directed to furnish appropriate replies to some of the queries by way of an affidavit if the information/replies thereto are not held by the o/o the PIO within 1(one) month from the date of receipt of this order and report the compliance thereof to this Commission on 28.01.2026, the next date of hearing.

Today on 28.01.2026, the PIO, Er. Shri Naresh Teli Comdir, EE appeared through VC while the appellant had deputed his representative, one Shri Tara Tagin, Nyorch Village Naharlagun, duly authorised in this behalf vide letter dt.26.02.2026.

Heard the parties.

The PIO, stating that the appellant has already been furnished with the requested documents/information, reiterated the said replies. On the other hand, the representative of the appellant, reiterated the appellant's dissatisfaction on the replies furnished to him.

Upon hearing parties and on perusal of the documents furnished by the PIO, this Commission noticed that the o/o the PIO had, indeed, furnished most of the requested information to the appellant, who is largely satisfied with the most of the replies except the following:

1. Name of Agency/Department of quality control works and report signed by the concerned agency/Department (Sl. No.2);
2. Name of Agency/Department of road safety works (Sl.No.3);
3. Soil test report on 6 (six) bridges and payment receipt by Agency / Department (Sl. No 5);
4. Approval /order copy of Chief Engineer or competent authority approved copy of Chief Engineer or competent authority on extra work and agreement between department and contractor (Sl.No.13);
5. Bill Voucher of Traffic Furniture and marking of road (Sl.No.14).

As assured by the PIO during the course of hearing, the aforesaid left out information/replies shall be furnished to the appellant within 1(one) week from today and it is again made clear that the replies/ explanation against Sl.No (2) and (5) of RTI application shall be furnished by way of an affidavit. The appellant is also directed to intimate this Commission of the receipt of the left out information within one week thereafter failing which this appeal shall stand closed.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on this 28th Jan., 2026.

Sd/-
(S. TSERING BAPPU)
State Information Commissioner,
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo No. APIC-710/2025/138 Dated Itanagar, the 29 Jan., 2026

Copy to:

1. The Superintending Engineer (PWD), Govt. of A.P, Capital Circle Itanagar, First Appellate Authority (FAA), for information and ensuring compliance by the PIO.
2. The PIO, o/o the E.E (PWD), Doimukh Division, Papum Pare District (Pin Code: 791112) for information and compliance.
3. Shri Nabam Jairam, village Langdung Circle Sangdupota, PO/PS Balijan, Papum Pare District (A.P) PIN: 791123 (Contact No. 9436266560) for information.
4. The Computer Programmer/Computer Operator for uploading on the website of APIC, please.
5. Office Copy.
6. S/copy.

29/01/26

Registrar/ Deputy Registrar
APIC, Itanagar.

Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar