

ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH

An appeal case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005

Vide Case No.APIC-340/2024

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI VIJAY TARAM, THE STATE
INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.**



Shri Riya Taram & Others

..... Appellant

-VERSUS-

PIO-Cum-Executive Engineer, PWD.
Sangram, Kurung Kumey District,
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh

..... Respondent.

Order: 05.02.2026.

JUDGEMENT

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the Appellants Shri Riya Taram, Bengia Tahar & Lokam Namdu on **26/08/2024** filed an RTI application in Form- 'A' before the PIO-cum-Executive Engineer, PWD, Sangram Division, Kurung Kumey District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh. Whereby seeking various information as quoted in Form 'A' application. The Appellants being not receiving the information from the PIO filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **24/09/2024**, and even then, the Appellants not receiving the required information from the PIO, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on **20/11/2024** and the Registry of the Commission (APIC) having receipt of the Appeal registered it as APIC-No. **340/2024** (Appeal) and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission on **06.02.2025, 10.04.2025, 01.07.2024, 30.09.2025 & 05.02.2026** wherein the Appellants found absent three times during the hearing before the Commission.

The 5th hearing held on 5th February' 2026 related to APIC-No. 340/2024, the Appellants Shri Riya Taram, Bengia Tahar & Lokam Namdu found absent consecutively for the 2nd time without intimating the reasons for their absence to the Commission. Whereas, they are the Appellants in the instant Appeal and who are bound to appear in the hearings after filing an appeal before the Commission.

The PIO absent without stating the reasons for his absence despite repeated Summons served unto him to be present in the hearing of the appeal preferred against him by the Appellant.

In the absence of both the parties the Commission hereby observes;

- i) The Appellants Shri Riya Taram, Bengia Tahar & Lokam Namdu absent in the 4th hearing on 30.09.2025 and again absent today in the 5th hearing on 5th February' 2026, despite notice for hearing served unto him properly from this Commission.

- ii) In all the repeated absence in the hearings the Appellants have never intimated the reasons for his absence to the Commission.
- iii) The repeated absence of the Appellants without intimating the reason to the Commission, displays the non-seriousness of the Appellants and not pursuing their Appeal.
- iv) Such non-seriousness of the Appellants after filing appeal before the Commission unnecessarily wastes the precious time of the PIO as well as the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission (APIC).

The details of information(s) sought by the Appellant from the PIO;

1. Furnish the List of Scheme.
2. Give the Name of Work List with Geo-tag Report/Sanction Order/Technical Sanction (TS) Order copy.
3. Details of Project Report (DPR)/Estimate copy.
4. Furnish the List of Work Order copy with Cheque book counterfoil/leaf/demand draft/Banker cheque/deposited slip/Challan and PFMS Transaction details as according to the Amount which Mentioned in Work Order/Sanction Order/Name of work list.
5. Furnish Name of Contractors/Firm/Enterprise with their bank account number.
6. Give the deposited Slip/Voucher/Treasury Challan of GST Amount/Money which deposited to Govt. Account against Royalty by Firm/Enterprise account with GST updates.
7. Give the Xerox copy of all the Trading License/Firm/Enterprise.
8. Furnish the Deposited slip/Challan/voucher/Transaction of GST Money deposited to Government Account against Royalty by Executive Engineer, division Account/Firm/Enterprise Account and also Mention the Owner Name of firm/enterprise/Proprietor Name, Give reason What Firm/Enterprise Owner/Proprietor is to AE/JE who run the Firm/Enterprise?
9. Details of Payment with Money Receipt of Contractors/Firm/Enterprise.
10. Give Bank Account Statement of EE Division with its Account Number.
11. Give the Letter/petition/LOC/LOA which wrote to the Branch Manager of State Bank of India to Released of Fund to Executive Engineer Account by Chief Engineer PWD.
12. Give Measurement book (MB) with page number/Hand Receipt and Payees Name.
13. Give First & Final Bill Copy/Give the Name of various Deduction as percent from Contractor payment.
14. Give the Photocopy of Work Site before Starting of work/Completion of work site with exact location.
15. Give Certified Photocopy of all work Site by concern Officer.
16. Give the Name of Officers and Officials who handled/Executed the Works
17. Furnish the Description of worksite location before start of work.
18. Furnish the Scheme details Sheet copy of works.

19. Give the Bank Account Statement and Amount Transferred to Respective Bank Account of Various Assistant Engineer (AE) Sub-Division from Executive Engineer Bank Account of Division/Firm/Enterprise Account with its Bank Account Number.
20. Give the List of AE/IE of department with their Used Firm/Enterprise.
21. Furnish NIT Copy/Date of NIT Tender float/Total number of Bidder/Total Apply of Firms/Name of Selected Firm/Enterprise & Contractors/Press Letter Paper Cutting/Awarded FIRM Details/Cheque book counterfoil/leaf with Account Number of Firm or Contractor to whom the payment has been made/UC

Period from which information asked for: 2021 to 2022

Under the above observations the Commission hereby decides;

- i) The non-seriousness of the Appellants in pursuing their appeal and absenting continuously in the hearings prompt the Commission to believe the Appellants are not interested to pursue this appeal else, the Appellants should have at least submitted letter of absence in at least one of the hearing for their absence and which was not done by the Appellants even in one stance also.
- ii) Pursuant upon the critical observations stated above the Commission hereby dismiss this instant appeal ex-parte.

Order;

In view of the above facts and circumstances the Commission dismiss this Appeal ex-parte. And, accordingly, this Appeal stands dismissed and closed once for all.

Judgment pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 5th day of February' 2026.

Copy of this Judgment be furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on this 5th day of February' 2026.

Sd/-

(Vijay Taram)

State Information Commissioner
APIC-Itanagar

Memo.No.APIC-340/A/2024/1081

Dated Itanagar, the 12...February, 2026.

Copy to:

1. PIO-Cum-Executive Engineer, PWD, Sangram, K/Kumey District, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please. **Pin Code:791118**
2. Shri Riya Taram & Others, Riang Store Jullang, Itanagar, P/Pare District Arunachal Pradesh for information please. **Contact No. 9383103387/9402443699**
3. The Computer Programmer, APIC for uploading on the Website of APIC please.
4. Office Copy

P. Raji
Registrar/Dy. Registrar
APIC, Itanagar.
Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar