



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, (APIC)
ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH**

An apple case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005

Vide Case No.APIC- 769/2023

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF MISS SONAM YUDRON, THE STATE
INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.**

Shri Ganpho Khusumchai, Medical Colony, Longding
Longding District, Arunachal Pradesh **Appellant.**

-VERSUS-

PIO-cum-Deputy Director, School Education, Longding
Longding District, Arunachal Pradesh, **Respondent.**

Judgment/Order: 02.02.2024.

JUDGMENT/ORDER

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI Act, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the appellant Shri Gangpho Khusumchai on **11.05.2023** filed an RTI application under Form-'A' before the PIO-O/o the Dy. Director of School Education, Longding District, Longding Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh whereby, seeking various information, as quoted in Form-A application. The Appellant, being not satisfied with the information received from the PIO, filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on **28.06.2023** Appellant, again having not received the required information from the FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on **14.08.2023** and the Registry of the Commission (APIC), having receipt of the appeal, registered it as **APIC No. 769/2023** and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the **Commission for 2 (two) times i.e. on 21.12.2023 & 02.02.2024. In this hearing of the appeal on 2nd day of February, 2024.**

Both the parties remained absent consecutively dated on 21.12.2023 & 02.02.2024 nor they intimated the reasons of their absence to the Commission.

During the hearing, the Commission tried to contact both the parties through audio hearing but both the parties did not responded to the call.

In this, context it is pertinent to point out herein that the appellant remained absent during the hearing, despite, he was intimate that if he remained absent during the hearing consecutively twice, his appeal shall be decided ex-parte & disposed of. Even then, he appellant remained absent consecutively two times i.e. on **21.12.2023 & 02.02.2024**, inspite of the direction of the Commission to him to be present in person before the Commission in the next date of hearing i.e. on **02.02.2024**, But the Appellant failed to comply the direction of the Commission/Court.

Moreover, he remained silent though he was intimated well in advance, if he remained twice absent during the hearing his appeal shall be decided ex-parte and disposed of .

So, I find that the appellant is no more interest on the APIC No.-769/2023 appeal for further hearing.

In such viewing the fact and circumstances, I have a reason for believing of the fact that the Appellant has fully received all the information sought from the PIO and Satisfied. Thus, I find this appeal fit to be disposed of as infructuous to continue the hearing.

So, the appeal is disposed of as infructuous to continue and closed once for all.

Judgment/Order pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 2nd day of February, 2024.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission/Court on this 2nd day of February, 2024.

Sd/-
(Sonam Yudron)
State Information Commissioner
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo.No.APIC-769/2023/ 1360
Copy to:

Dated Itanagar, the 11th February 2024.

1. The PIO-cum-Deputy Director of School Education, Longding, District-Longding, Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please.
2. Shri Ganpho Khusumchai, Medical Colony, Longding, District-Longding, Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please.
3. The Computer Programmer for upload on the Website of APIC, please.
4. Office Copy.

Registrar/Dy. Registrar
APIC, Itanagar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar