



सूचना का
अधिकार
RIGHT TO
INFORMATION

**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION (APIC)
ITANAGAR**

(Before the Hon'ble Information Commissioner Mr. Genom Tekseng)
AN APPEAL UNDER SECTION 19 (3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.

APIC-No.282/2023(Appeal)

Sh. Tasi Chader, C/o Ms. YC Enterprise, D-
Sector Naharlagun, P/Pare District, Arunachal
Pradesh. (M) 7085733384 / 9402747750, **Pin:**
791110.

Appellant

-Versus-

The PIO-Cum-Director, O/o the Dept. of Food &
Civil Supplies, Pappu Nallah, Naharlagun,
Papumpare District Arunachal Pradesh.
Pin: 791110.

Respondent

Date of hearing: 13.10.2023.

Date of decision: 13.10.2023.

FACTS OF THE CASE:

The appellant filed an RTI application dated 03.01.2023 seeking information regarding Head load carriage/ transpiration of essential ration commodities etc to tasking circle. The PIO did not furnish any reply in response to the RTI application. The appellant then filed a First Appeal dated 13.02.2023. The First Appellate Authority's (FAA) order, if any, is not available on record. Feeling aggrieved, the appellant approached the commission with the instant Second Appeal.

FACTS EMERGING DURING THE HEARING:

After receipt of the second Appeal Notices were issued to the parties to appear on 30.06.2023. Both the parties appeared on 30.06.2023.

The appellant submitted that the respondent PIO did not furnish any reply to his RTI application. The PIO appeared with the information and requested for the permission to hand over the same to the appellant which was allowed but the appellant refused to receive the information as it was without seal and signature of the PIO. The PIO further submitted that information sought vide point no. (d)(e)(f) and (g) of the application cannot be furnished as the same should be available with the DFSCO, Daporijo, Upper Subansiri. The commission after hearing both parties directed the PIO to furnish the information sought in point no. (a),(b),(c),(h) and (j) of the application

with proper seal and signature and forward a copy of the application in respect of the information sought vide point no. (d), (e), (f) and (g) to the DFCSO, Daporijo, upper Subansiri. Hearing of the case was adjourned to 15.09.2023. None appeared on 15.09.2023. One Shri Nikam Dabu appeared on the behalf of the appellant and submitted that the PIO had only furnished information sought in point no. (j) of the application .The commission after hearing appellant's representative and after perusing case records directed the PIO to comply with the interim order passed on 30.06.2023 and appeared on 13.10.2023 with Action Taken Report. Strict warning was also issued to the PIO for not being meticulous in giving timely reply to the appellant and in dealing with the application.

The appellant appears before the commission and submits that the PIO has furnished correct and complete information. The PIO is represented by Shri Buru Laling (SDFCSO).

DECISION:

Keeping in view the facts of the case and the submission made by the appellant, the commission is of the opinion that the PIO has complied with the directions of the commission, hence, no further intervention of the commission is required in the matter. The instant appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

Copy of this order be provided to the parties.

Sd/-

(Genom Tekseng)

Information Commissioner

Dated Itanagar the 25. October' 2023.

Memo No.APIC-282/2023/ 1072
Copy to:

1. Computer Programmer, Itanagar, APIC, to upload in APIC, website please.
2. Office copy.


Registrar/Dy.Registrar
APIC, Itanagar
Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar