



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION (APIC)
ITANAGAR**

(Before the Hon'ble Information Commissioner Mr. Genom Tekseng)

AN APPEAL UNDER SECTION 19 (3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.

APIC-No.104/2023(Appeal)

Sh. Takam Dolu & Sh. Gyamar Gunia,
Lekhi Village, Near Truck Terminal, PO:
Model Village, PS: Naharlagun, P/Pare
Dist. Arunachal Pradesh. (M)
8259006387/ 7005234609. **Pin:**
791110.

Appellant

-Versus-

The PIO-Cum-EE, RWD, Sagalee
Division, P/Pare District Arunachal
Pradesh.
Pin: 791112.

Respondent

Date of hearing: 12-01-2024.

Date of decision: 12.01.2024.

FACTS OF THE CASE:

The appellant vide his RTI application dated 12.07.2022 sought information relating to construction of roads (1) from Sakiang to Nargang (2) from Yazali to Sakiang road point to Pilla, (3) from Mengio Hq. Sakiang to Tapo and (4) from Pinte to Sakiang. The PIO did not provide any reply to the appellant in response to the RTI application. The appellant then filed a First Appeal. The First Appellate authority (FAA) did not pass any order on the First Appeal. The appellant thereafter approached the commission with his Second Appeal.

After receipt of the second appeal notices were issued to the parties to appear on 31.03.2023. The appellant appeared on 31.03.2023 and submitted that the PIO did not furnish reply to his application. The PIO was absent during the hearing despite prior information. Commission adjourned the hearing to 02.06.2023 with direction to the PIO to furnish information within 20 days from the issue of the said order.

The appellant appeared on 02.06.2023 and stated that in inspite of the order of the commission, complete information had not been provided to him. He had, however, stated that PIO had furnished a reply dated 17.10.2022 which was totally unsatisfactory. The PIO remained absent without any intimation to the commission. The commission after hearing the submissions of the appellant directed the PIO to furnish requisite information within 15 days and appear on 18.08.2023.

The appellant appeared on 18.08.2023 and submitted that despite repeated order of the commission the PIO did not furnish complete information. The PIO was absent during the hearing. However, Shri **K.Welly**, JE appeared on behalf of the PIO and submitted that the instant matter is covered by the decision of the commission in

an earlier set of Appeals filed by the same appellants. He had, however, stated that information relating to road from the Sakiang to Nargang which is an ongoing work was furnished to the appellant vide letter dated 17.10.2022. He also produced a written submission dated 18.10.2023 from the PIO. The PIO in his letter had stated that the appellants had filed **3** separate appeals numbered as 94/2021, 95/2021 and 96/2021 respectively before the commission and the same were disposed of the commission on merit. The PIO's representative had, therefore, prayed that the instant appeal should be rejected/dismissed for filing repeated applications. The commission after hearing both parties directed the PIO to submit **(1) copies of second appeals filed before the commission, (2) copies of the orders passed by the commission on the second appeals, (3) copies of application, if any, of the appellant expressing satisfaction regarding the information provided and (4) copies of RTI applications seeking similar information by the same appellant and appear on 20.10.2023 which was adjourned to 12.01.2024.**

The PIO appears before the commission and prays that the instant appeal should be disposed of as the sought information had already been provided to the appellants. During the hearing the PIO has produced copies of the orders passed by the commission on Second Appeal No APIC-94/2021, 95/2021 and APIC-96/2021 and a copies of the Form -A dated 19.06.2020 and 28.01.2021.

The commission after hearing the submission of the PIO and perusal of relevant records on the case file placed before it, has found that instant matter is squarely covered by the decision of the commission dated 21.02.2022 (APIC-94 and 95/2021 and dated 01.04.2022 (APIC-96/2021). Hence, no further action is required in the matter.

The instant appeal is, accordingly, **disposed of.**

Copy of this order be supplied to the parties.

Sd/-
(Genom Tekseng)
Information Commissioner

Memo No.APIC-104/2023/1845

Dated Itanagar the 8th Feb' 2024.

Copy to:

- ✓ 1. Computer Programmer, Itanagar, APIC, to upload in APIC, website please.
2. Office copy.


Registrar / Dy.Registrar,
APIC, Itanagar
Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar