सूचना का अधिकार
RIGHT TO INFORMATION

सत्यमेव जयते

ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION (APIC)
ITANAGAR

File No. APIC-623/2025(Appeal)

Shri Taki Rilo — **Appellant**
Vill- Jijra Rilo, P.O- Dumporijo
Upper Subansiri District
Pin- 791122, (M) 7085679133

versus

1.PIO, — **Respondents**
Block Development Officer (BDO)
CD Block Dumporijo
Upper Subansiri District, A.P
Pin- 791122

2.FAA-cum-Deputy Commissioner (DC)
Daporijo, Upper Subansiri District
Pin- 791122

ORDER

Date of Hearing: 24.10.2025
Date of Decision: 24.10.2025

INFORMATION COMMISSIONER : Dani Gamboo

Relevant facts emerging from appeal.

RTI application filed on	:	02.05.2025
SPIO replied on	:	Not on record
First appeal filed on	:	30.06.2025
First Appellate Authority's Order	:	Not on record
Second Appeal filed on	:	19.08.2025

Information sought:

The appellant filed an RTI application dated 02.05.2025 seeking information regarding PMKSY-2.0 at Jopu Nongo at Rilo.

(a) Please furnish the true / xerox copy of sanction order sanctioned against PMKSY-2.0 at Jopu Nongo at Rilo during the financial year 2024-2025.

(b) please furnish the name of Enterprise/Firm whose sanction amount has been transferred and xerox/true copy of PPA be provided.

(e) Since the project is beneficiary oriented, please furnish numbers and name of beneficiaries to whom payment has been made and amount paid against each beneficiary.

The following were present.

Appellant : Present. He states that neither the FAA has heard the appeal case nor PIO has furnished any information to him.

Respondent PIO : Absent

FAA : Absent

Decision:

The Commission after adverting to the facts and circumstances of the case, and perusal of the records, hearing the parties, observes that FAA – Deputy Commissioner (DC), Daporijo, has not disposed of the first appeal filed to him by following statutory procedure laid down in the Arunachal Pradesh Right to Information (Appeal Procedure) Rules, 2005. So, this appeal case is decided and determined in the absence of the FAA as made known to him in the hearing notice.

As laid down at para-38 of the Guidelines for the FAA issued by the GoI and the State Govt. OM No. AR-111/2008 Dated 21st August, 2008, adjudication on the appeals under RTI Act is a quasi-judicial function. It is, therefore, necessary that the Appellate Authority should see to it that the justice is not only done but it should also appear to have been done. In order to do so, the order passed by the appellate authority should be a speaking order giving justification for the decision arrived at.

Therefore, the instant appeal case is remanded to First Appellate Authority. Therefore, the **FAA –Deputy Commissioner (DC), Daporijo, Upper Subansiri District**, following the principle of natural justice, shall conduct hearing giving fair and equal opportunity to both the appellant and the PIO and thereafter pass reasoned and speaking order on merit within two weeks from the date of receipt of this order i.e **on or before 07.11.2025.**

The appellant is at liberty to file 2nd appeal afresh:

1. If the Appellant is not satisfied with the information furnished to him by PIO based on the judgement order passed by the FAA.
2. If the FAA has denied the requested information based on specific exemptions/ grounds provided under the RTI Act, 2005.

Fee for such 2nd appeal, if done, shall be exempted.

Sd/-
(Dani Gamboo)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

Registrar / Dy. Registrar
APIC

Memo No. APIC-623/2025/627 Dated Itanagar the 27 October'2025.

Copy to:

1. Computer Programmer Itanagar APIC to upload in APIC website and mailed to concerned department email.
2. Office copy.

Registrar
APIC, Itanagar

Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar