



## ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION (APIC) **ITANAGAR**

## File No. APIC-282/2025(Appeal)

Shri Tai Ganga

Kacha Pahar, Gophur Road NH-413 Itannagar Papumapre Dist. A.P.

Pin: 791111(M) 8730944747

**Appellant** 

Respondents

Versus

1.PIO,

O/o the Chief Engineer RWD (Coord)

Govt. of AP Itanagar, Papumpare District A.P.

Pin Code: 791111.

2. FAA

Chief Engineer (Coord) RWD

Govt. of A.P Itanagar, Papumpare District AP.

Pin Code: 791111

**ORDER** 

Date of Hearing:

10.07.2025

Date of Decision:

10.07.2025

INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

Dani Gamboo

Relevant facts emerging from appeal.

RTI application filed on

09.10.2024

SPIO replied on

Not on record

29.11.2024

First appeal filed on

First Appellate Authority's Order

Not on record

Second Appeal filed on

26.03.2025

**Information sought:** 

The appellant filed an RTI application dated 09.10.2024 seeking following information regarding Junior Engineers Under Jamin Division RWD.

- 1. Appointment Orders.
- 2. Copy of Recruitment Notices for appointments
- 3. Credentials attached for appointment.
- 4. Posting order/Transfer to Jamin Division RWD.
- 5. Promotion orders/Regularization orders (if any)

6. Copy of Transfer/posting Rules for RWD or general Rules as such.

Period: As Concerned.

The following were present.

**Appellant** 

Present

He states that no information is furnished to him by

PIO nor the FAA has heard the first appeal case.

**Respondent PIO** 

Smt. Nanu Nabam, Astt. attended without any

authority letter.

FAA

Absent

## **Decision:**

The Commission after adverting to the facts and circumstances of the case, and perusal of the records, observes that FAA has not disposed of the first appeal filed to him by following statutory procedure laid down in the Arunachal Pradesh Right to Information (Appeal Procedure) Rules, 2005. So, this appeal case is decided and determined in the absence of PIO and FAA as made known to them in the hearing notice.

As laid down at para-38 of the Guidelines for the FAA issued by the GoI and the State Govt. OM No. AR-111/2008 Dated 21<sup>st</sup> August, 2008, adjudication on the appeals under RTI Act is a quasi-judicial function. It is, therefore, necessary that the Appellate Authority should see to it that the justice is not only done but it should also appear to have been done. In order to do so, the order passed by the appellate authority should be a speaking order giving justification for the decision arrived at.

Therefore, the instant appeal case is remanded to First Appellate Authority. Therefore, the **FAA** – **Chief Engineer**, **(Coord) RWD**, **Govt. of AP.**, following the principle of natural justice, shall conduct hearing giving fair and equal opportunity to both the appellant and the PIO and thereafter pass reasoned and speaking order on merit within two weeks from the date of receipt of this order i.e **on or before 24**<sup>th</sup> **July 2025.** 

The appellant is at liberty to file 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal afresh:

- If the Appellant is not satisfied with the information furnished to him by PIO based on the judgement order passed by the FAA.
- 2. If the FAA has denied the requested information based on specific exemptions/ grounds provided under the RTI Act, 2005.

Fee for such 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal, if done, shall be exempted.

Sd/-(Dani Gamboo) Information Commissioner Authenticated true copy

Registrar / Dy. Registrar **APIC** 

Memo No. APIC-282/2025/  $\langle \upsilon \rangle$  Copy to:

Dated Itanagar the 1.1. July 2025.

1. Computer Programmer Itanagar APIC to upload in APIC website and mailed to concerned department email.

2. Office copy.

Registrar / Dy. Registrar

APIC, Itanagar

Arunachal Pradesn Information Commission