सत्यमेव जयते

सूचना का अधिकार
RIGHT TO INFORMATION

ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION
ITANAGAR

BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF MAJOR GENERAL JARKEN GAMLIN, AVSM, SM, VSM (RETD), STATE CHIEF INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

No.APIC-849/A/2025 Dated, Itanagar the 20th January' 2026

Appeal Under Section 19(3) RTI Act, 2005

Appellant: Shri Ratan Chetia, Village- Sitpani Moran, P/o, P/s Mahadevpur, Namsai District, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN-792105, (M) 8729961231.

Vs

Respondent: The PIO-cum-EE (WRD), Bordumsa Division, Changlang District, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN- 792056.

ORDER

1). This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 filed by Shri Ratan Chetia, Village- Sitpani Moran, P/o, P/s Mahadevpur, Namsai District, Arunachal Pradesh, for non-furnishing of information by the PIO-cum-EE (WRD), Bordumsa Division, Changlang District, Arunachal Pradesh, as sought by the Appellant under section 6(1) of RTI Act, 2005 vide Form-A Dated 7/04/2025 regarding (i) Erosion management and protection work at Diyun, 2023-24, (ii) Construction and extension of MIC at Jyotipur Sompoi and Dumpari Diyun, 2023-24, (iii) C/o MIC at Dum Pather and Innao Pather, Diyun, 2023-24.

2). The 1st re-scheduled hearing was held on 20th January' 2026. The PIO-cum-EE (WRD), Bordumsa Division, Changlang District, Arunachal Pradesh was represented by The APIO Er. J. Sangno through Video Conferencing. The Appellant Shri Ratan Chetia also attended the hearing through Video Conferencing.

3). The APIO informed the Commission that the Appellant had submitted application seeking voluminous information so had been asked to seek specific information. However, some information were kept ready and the Appellant was asked to collect information from the office but the Appellant failed to collect the same.

4). The Appellant informed that the information sought were only for details of three schemes pertaining to WRD Bordumsa Division. The Appellant also appealed the Commission for site inspection of the schemes.

5). The Commission after hearing both the parties and going through the Appeal case, directed the PIO to furnish information to the Appellant within thirty days from the date of issue of this order. The Commission also asked the Appellant to visit the office of the PIO and collect information. The Appellant agreed to do so. Moreover, the commission clarified that site inspection could be undertaken by the Appellant any time as per his conveniences and that the presence of officials during such an inspection was not necessary.

Contd..p..2

6). The Commission also asked the Appellant to intimate his satisfaction or dissatisfaction after receipt of information.

7). The next date of hearing would be decided on the basis of intimation from the Appellant. In case the Appellant failed to intimate to the Commission within ten days from the expiry of above time given to the PIO, the case would be disposed of.

Order copies be issued to all the parties.

Sd/-
[Major General Jarken Gamlin, AVSM, SM, VSM (Retd)],
State Chief Information Commissioner
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar

Memo No.APIC-849/A/2025/360 **Dated, Itanagar the** 24 **January' 2026**

Copy to:

1. The PIO-cum-EE (WRD), Bordumsa Division, Changlang District, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN- 792056 for compliance.
2. Shri Ratan Chetia, Village- Sitpani Moran, P/o, P/s Mahadevpur, Namsai District, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN-792105, (M) 8729961231, for compliance.
3. Computer Programmer, APIC, Itanagar, to upload in APIC Website.
4. Case file.

24/01/26
Registrar / Dy. Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar

Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission