



सूचना का  
अधिकार  
RIGHT TO  
INFORMATION



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**ITANAGAR.**

**An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005**  
**Case No. APIC-70/2025.**

**APPELLANT** : Shri Tamchi Gungte, Near KV-II School Itanagar.

**RESPONDENT** : The PIO, o/o the Executive Engineer (PWD), Dibang Valley,  
Dibang Valley, Arunachal Pradesh.

**ORDER**

This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 received from Shri Tamchi Gungte for non-furnishing of 23(twenty three point) information on c/o "Augmentation and Retrofitting of old DC Office at Anini in Dibang Valley District (Phase-I)" by the PIO, o/o the Executive Engineer, (PWD), Anini Division, Dibang Valley, Arunachal Pradesh as sought for by him under section 6(1) (Form-A) of RTI Act, 2005 vide his application dated 04.10.2024.

The appeal was earlier heard on 7<sup>th</sup> May, 2025 wherein the PIO, Er. Shri Binam Messar, E.E was present through VC and the appellant, Shri Tamchi Gungte was present in person.

This Commission, upon hearing the parties, directed the PIO to depute his APIO or any representative within one week to explain to the appellant the replies to his satisfaction. The appellant was also directed to report to this Commission of the receipt of the documents in complete and satisfactory from the PIO within one week thereafter to enable this Commission to fix the next date of hearing, if required.

The appellant, Shri Tamchi Gungte, in the meantime, vide letter dt. 20<sup>th</sup> June, 2025 intimated this Commission that the PIO failed to furnish the required documents which the PIO assured to furnish on or before 17<sup>th</sup> June, 2025. The appellant, vide subsequent letter dt.11.07.2025, intimated this Commission that the PIO has not yet furnished the following remaining/ incomplete documents:

A)Serial No. 2 (LOC)	Reply furnish by the PIO is inappropriate as per RTI Act.
B) Serial No. 3 (UC)	Information furnish is very confusing to understand.
C)Serial No. 7 (Newspaper)	Incomplete documents. Only one newspaper.
D)Serial No. 8	No design of the work and scope of work.
E) Serial No. 10	Incomplete information as there is proper details of the other tender participant (such as EMD, work experience documents)
F) Serial No. 12	Work is ongoing and there has been a replacement of officer incharge for that the information is incomplete
G) Serial No. 23 (Payment details)	The information furnish is incomplete and very confusing.



This appeal was, thus, listed today on 30.07.2025 again wherein the appellant is present in person and the PIO, Er. B. Messar is present through VC.

Heard the PIO who explained in detail the reasons for the shortcomings in the replies to queries as under:

As regards Sl.No.2 and 3, the PIO submitted that the LOC is issued in a composite form which makes it difficult to segregate the LOC for one particular project from the other. Similarly, the UCs are issued in one composite form.

As regards publication of NIT (Sl.No.7), the PIO submitted that as per records, the NIT was published only in one paper.

As regards the design of the work and scope of work (Sl. No.8), the PIO assured to furnish the same.

As regards Sl.No.10, the PIO submitted that as per records the copies of EMD of tender participating firms were not kept as they were returned to the firms after the tendering process.

As regards Sl.No.12, the PIO assured to furnish the detailed record of transfer and posting of the officers, including himself, during the implementation of the project.

As regards Sl.No.12, the PIO assured to furnish detailed explanation to the appellant as to how the payments were made to the firms.

This Commission, after hearing the parties, directed the PIO to furnish the records, whatever is available, and explain the other discrepancies to the appellant within 30<sup>th</sup> August, 2025 and within one week thereafter, the appellant shall intimate this Commission about his satisfaction or otherwise for further necessary action if any

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on this 30<sup>th</sup> July, 2025.

Sd/-  
(S. TSERING BAPPU)  
State Information Commissioner,  
APIC, Itanagar

Memo No. APIC- 70/2025 / 530

Dated Itanagar, the 31 July, 2025

Copy to:

1. The First Appellate Authority, the Chief Engineer Eastern Zone (PWD) Department Thana Road, Namsai, Namsai District (A.P) for information and ensuring compliance by the PIO concerned.
2. PIO, o/o the Executive Engineer (PWD), Anini Division, Dist. :Dibang Valley, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh PIN – 792101 for information and compliance.
3. Shri TamchiGungte, Near KV-II School Chimpu, PS/PS Chimpu, Distt.Papum Pare, 791113, A.P. Mobile No. 9233567279 PIN: 791111 for information.
4. ✓ The Computer Programmer/Computer Operator for uploading on the Website of APIC, please.
5. Office copy.
6. S/Copy.

Registrar/ Deputy Registrar  
APIC, Itanagar.

Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission  
Itanagar