

# ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION (APIC) ITANAGAR

## File No. APIC-571/2025(Appeal)

Shri Nabam Tai & Ors,

Village Nirjuli PO / PS: Nirjuli Papumpare District A.P (M) 8798128194

Pin Code: 791109

Appellant

Respondent

Versus

PIO,

O/o the Divisional Forest Officer

(DFO) Khellong Forest Division (Bhalukpong) West Kameng District A.P.,

Pin code: 790114

**ORDER** 

Date of Hearing:

24.10.2025

Date of Decision:

24.10.2025

INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

Dani Gamboo

Relevant facts emerging from appeal.

RTI application filed on

SPIO replied on

11.04.2025 Not on record

First appeal filed on

15.05.2025

First Appellate Authority's Order

06.06.2025

Second Appeal filed on

19.06.2025

## Information sought:

The appellant filed an RTI application dated 11.04.2025seeking the details information regarding execution of work amounting to 1. Rs. 983.991 Lakhs (Rupees Nine Crore Eighty-Three Lakhs Ninety-Nine Thousand One Hundred) only for implementation of the items under "CA & NPV" component of State CAMPA AOP Vol-I during 2024-25.

### Details of information required:

- 1. Total No. of CA issues with their amount details to all RFO
- 2. Technical sanction order, DPR Copy.
- 3. Furnished the NIT/NIQ details with News Paper Cutting.
- 4. Contractor Details/Agency.
- 5. Work Order.
- 6. Measurement Book details.

- 7. Geo tag details photography of project site, GPS Map Camera Copy.
- 8. Cheque counterfoils, billing transaction details or PFMS details.
- 9. First & Final Bill Statement/Abstract.
- 10. Furnish the DFO shall ensure that the proposed activities are being taken up after due consultation with Gram Sabha and are as per provision of FRA 2006, the activities proposed in Forest area are in consonance with approved working plan and activities proposed in protected areas (Pas) are in consonance with approved management plan. A certificate in this regard may be submitted to State Authority CAMPA.
- 11. Item wise expenditure Rs. 983.991 Lakhs (Rupees Nine Crore Eighty-Three Lakhs Ninety-Nine Thousand One Hundred).
- 12. Furnished the name of Trees Planted from last 4 years and location with GPS Map Camera during tree plantation activities.
- (B) Furnish the specific details of items mentioned/Certified true copy.
  - (a) Name of beneficiaries, firm, contractor with details address, specific location, contact numbers and Contact details of the furnished beneficiaries, contract firm/agency.
- b) Mode and details of payment with specific date and year against the beneficiaries, firm, contract firm/agency with amount in figure in each.
- c) Voucher details and money receipt of payment made to each beneficiary, firm, contractor of furnished beneficiaries, contract firm/agency.
  - d) Beneficiaries Identity Proof like, Aadhar Card, Voter ID with contact Number.
  - e) Furnished the Beneficiaries Signature during Cheque/Cash received.
  - f) Site inspection of work/verification.
  - g) Bill voucher/challan submitted to AG Itanagar.
- i) Bank Passbook use for transaction/name of Account holder/Account Number and Cheque Number with amount details.

The following were present.

#### **Appellant**

: Present. He states that he has received the reply from the PIO but the information furnished by the PIO do not fully conform to information as sought in RTI application form A.

### **Respondent PIO**

: Miss Horter Padu, RFO represented the PIO with authorisation letter. She states that all the available information with PIO has already been furnished to the appellant. She has submitted a written clarification letter by PIO – DFO Khellong Division.

#### On interjection:

- that the ground for filing  $2^{nd}$  appeal as "Not furnishing Form A as per RTI Act. 2005" is vague when the PIO has already furnished information / reply to each point in his RTI application.
- The appellant states that he is not an advocate and good in english language grammatically correct way to file his point of view. He says by this appeal he means the information received by him is not adequate as per his RTI application in form A.

- that the information sought in RTI application at serial number:
  - that whereas information sought was: "total number of CA issued with their amount detail to all RFO", the PIO has furnished total number of CA as 6 (six) numbers but amount of CA is not mentioned.
  - that whereas information sought was: "Technical sanction order, DPR copy, the PIO has furnished sanction order copy only.
- The representative of the PIO states that the amount of each CA issued to RFOs may have not furnished inadvertently. The DPR / estimate copy as sought by the appellant is voluminous and not possible to furnish him in hard copy but it can be given in soft copy.

#### Decision:

The Commission after adverting to the facts and circumstances of the case, and perusal of the records, observes that appellant has not specifically reacted to the reply furnished to his RTI query by the PIO. The PIO has filed a written submission today about further clarification on her reply to the appellant vide letter no. KD/33/2024/CAMPA/5688-39 Dated 8<sup>th</sup> Oct'2025. A copy of this letter be furnished to the appellant with this order. The appellant is directed to file a written submission to the PIO clearly specifying the shortcomings in the information already furnished to him within the context of original RTI application within 10 days from the date of issue of this order i.e on or before 3<sup>rd</sup> November 2025. The PIO shall furnish the additional admissible information within one week upon receipt of written submission from the appellant. In case of no report received from the appellant within specified period this appeal case shall stands closed.

The hearing is adjourned. Next date of hearing shall be fixed on receipt of compliance report of this order from the appellant.

Order pronounced in the Open Court of this Commission today this 24<sup>th</sup> day of October' 2025. Each copy of the Judgement / Order be furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission / Court on this  $24^{th}$  day of October' 2025.

Sd/(Dani Gamboo)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

Registrar / Dy. Registrar

**APIC** 

Memo No. APIC-571/2025/ 62 8

Dated Itanagar the 2. Foctober 2025.

Copy to:

1. Computer Programmer Itanagar APIC to upload in APIC website and mailed to concerned department email.

2. Office copy.

Registrar APIC, Itanagar Registrar Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission Itanagar