



## ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION ITANAGAR

BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF MAJOR GENERAL JARKEN GAMLIN, AVSM, SM, VSM (RETD), STATE CHIEF INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

No.APIC-394/A/2025

Dated, Itanagar the 20<sup>th</sup> January' 2026

### Appeal Under Section 19(3) RTI Act, 2005

**Appellant:** Chow Lajamang Mannow, Village Nanam Khampti, P/O- Manmow, Namsai District, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN-792103, (M) 7629857269.

Vs

**Respondent:** Er. Kipa Nyama, PIO-cum-EE (PHE & WSD), Bordumsa Division, Changlang District, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN- 792056.

### ORDER

1). This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 filed by Chow Lajamang Mannow, Village Piyong Khampti, P/O- Piyong, Namsai District, Arunachal Pradesh, for non-furnishing of information by the PIO-cum-EE (PHE & WSD), Bordumsa Division, Changlang District, Arunachal Pradesh, as sought by the Appellant under section 6(1) of RTI Act, 2005 vide Form-A Dated 18/03/2025 regarding Augmentation of Water supply at Miao Township (3.57MLD) in Arunachal Pradesh.

2). **The 1<sup>st</sup> re-scheduled hearing was held on 20<sup>th</sup> January' 2026.** Er. Kipa Nyama, PIO-cum-EE (PHE & WSD), Bordumsa Division, Changlang District, Arunachal Pradesh and the Appellant Chow Lajamang Mannow appeared before the court through Video Conferencing.

3). The PIO informed that the information sought by the Appellant were regarding ongoing project, however on direction of the FAA vide Judgment Memo No. PHED-I/EZ/90/2024-25/132 dated Namsai the 28/03/2025, the cost of documents to be provided worked out to amount of Rs. 2, 86, 550.00 (Rupees Two Lakhs Eighty Six Thousand Five Hundred Fifty) only. Vide Memo No. EE/PHEB/Actt-26/2024-25/86-89 Dated Bordumsa the 24/04/2025 the Appellant was asked to deposit the amount through Treasury challan but till date the Appellant had not deposited the amount.

4). The Appellant submitted that the PIO had not furnished information within stipulated period and had asked to deposit a huge amount of money as charges for information. Hence, had to appeal to the Commission.

5). The Commission after hearing both the parties and going through the Appeal case asked the Appellant to cut down the number of information being sought to a more rationalized figure, adequate to prove the existing proving anomalies in implementation of the project. The Appellant agreed to list out three to four basic queries.

6). The Commission directed the PIO to furnish those three to four pieces of information free of cost to the Appellant within thirty days from the date of issue of this order.

7). The Commission also asked the Appellant to intimate his satisfaction or dissatisfaction after receipt of information within ten days from the date of receipt of information.

8). The next date of hearing would be decided on the basis of intimation from the Appellant. In case the Appellant failed to intimate to the Commission within ten days from the expiry of above time given to the PIO, the case would be disposed of.

**Order copies be issued to all the parties.**

Sd/-

[Major General Jarken Gamlin, AVSM, SM, VSM (Retd)],  
State Chief Information Commissioner  
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission

Itanagar

Memo No.APIC-394/A/2025 1359

Dated, Itanagar the 29 January' 2026

Copy to:

1. The PIO-cum-EE (PHE & WSD), Bordumsa Division, Changlang District, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN- 792056 for compliance.
2. Chow Lajamang Mannow, Village Nanam Khampti, P/O- Manmow, Namsai District, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN-792103, (M) 7629857269, for compliance.
3. Computer Programmer, APIC, Itanagar, to upload in APIC Website.
4. Case file.

*Prayag 29/01/26*  
Registrar Dy. Registrar  
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission  
Itanagar

Deputy Registrar  
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission  
Itanagar