



ITANAGAR



Appellant

Respondents



File No. APIC-129/2025(Appeal)

Shri Tamchi Gungte

Near KV-II School Chimpu

PO/PS: Chimpu

PapumPare District A.P.

Pin Code: 791113 (M) 9233567279

Versus

1.PIO,

O/o Executive Engineer (EE)

WRD Likabali Division Lower

Siang District A.P Pin Code: 791125

2.FAA,

Chief Engineer (CE) W/Z

WRD, Itanagar, Papumpare Distrct A.P.

Pin Code: 791111

ORDER

Date of Hearing:

17.07.2025

Date of Decision:

17.07.2025

INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

Dani Gamboo

Relevant facts emerging from appeal.

RTI application filed on

12.11.2024

SPIO replied on

Not on record

First appeal filed on

20.12.2024

First Appellate Authority's Order

Not on record

Second Appeal filed on

06.02.2025

Information sought:

The appellant filed an RTI application dated 12.11.2024seeking following information regarding C/o "The total list of project sanctioned under Pradhan Mantri Jan Vikas Karyakaram (PMJVK), erstwhile-MsDP Scheme, Ministry of Minority Affairs Govt. of India during the financial year 2018-19.

The total list of projects are:-

- 1. Construction of Additional block for Community Health centre at Nari in Ramle Bango block of East Siang Dist. A.P. (2018-19)
- 2. Construction of Additional block for women & children at Primary Health Centre at Koyu in Ramle Bango Block of East Siang Dist. A.P. (2018-19)
- 3. Construction of additional School building for Govt. Middle School, Nari in Ramle-Bango block of East Siang Dist. Arunachal Pradesh (2018-19)
- 4. Construction of School building for Govt. Hr. Sec. School, Koyu in Ramle Bango block of East Siang Dist. Arunachal Pradesh. (2018-19)
- 5. Construction of School Building for Govt. Primary School Nari in Ramle Bango block of East Siang Dist Arunachal Pradesh. (2018-19)
- 6. Construction of ACRs for Govt. Rina Pry. School in Ramle Bango block of East Siang Dist. (2018-19)

Particulars of sought information are given below:-

- 1. Furnish the certified Sanction Order Copy.
- Furnish the certified PRC (Permanent Residence Certificate) submitted by tender Participant issued by the Competent Authority regarding domicile status with the Districts as per Rule 4(ii)(b) of the Arunachal Pradesh District Based Entrepreneurs and Professionals (Incentive, Development and Promotion) rules, 2015.
- Furnish the certified copy of Proof (such as Voter ID and PRC) that the tender winning firm Domicile status within the Territorial jurisdiction of that Constituency Assembly as per District Based Entrepreneur and Professionals (Incentive, Development and Promotion) rules, 2015.
- 4. Furnish the certified LOC Copy with respect to the subject mentioned above.
- 5. Furnish the certified copy of Technical Sanction done by the concerned Authority for the projects as per financial rules.
- 6. Furnish the certified copy of Utilization Certificate.
- 7. Furnish the certified copy of Notice Inviting Tender (NIT) with respect to the subject mentioned above.
- 8. Furnish the certified Progress Report of the projects in Physical and Financial section till date.
- 9. Furnish the certified copy of Completion certificate of the project.
- 10. Furnish the certified copy of Newspaper in which NIT was published (At least 3 newspaper name (one National & 2 Local)) along with date of publication of Newspaper, as per Government approved Order.
- 11. Furnish the certified Design and Scope of Work in the projects.
- 12. Furnish the certified copy of Work Specification of the projects.
- 13. Furnish the certified copy of documents submitted by tender participant for Technical Bid.
- 14. Furnish the Name of Firms who won the Tender work with respect to the subject mentioned above.
- 15. Furnish the Name of Officers and their Designation at the Time of monitoring the work.

Furnish the certified copy of Contractor Registration, of the tender participant and tender winning Firm.

17. Furnish the certified copy of Contractor Enlistment Update reports (Civil/ Composite Category as per the nature of work), of tender participant and winning Firm.

18. Furnish the certified copy of EMD and Security money deposited by all the tender participant.

19. Furnish the certified Integrity Pact submitted by the tender participant.

- 20. Furnish the certified Affidavit copy Sworn before a Competent Magistrate to the effect that he/she (tender participant), does not have 2 (Two) or more incomplete ongoing commitment (projects/contract to execute) at the time of bidding by the tender participant and winning firm. (as per rule SPWD/W-66/2012 Dtd. 01-08-2018 as per District Based Entrepreneurship Act, 2015).
- 21. Furnish the certified documents submitted by tender participant and winning firm, i.e. copy of completed Three similars work each of value not less than 40% of the estimate cost or Completed Two Similar work each of value not less than 60% of the estimated cost or Completed One similar work of value not less than 80% of the estimated cost along with the Completion Certificate issued by the Engineer in Charge duly Countersigned by the Concerned Superintending Engineer and Chief Engineer, in the last 5 years ending last day of the month previous to the one in which the tenders are invited.

22. Furnish the certified reasons & provision to adopt TURNKEY/EPC Basis to execute this project.

23. Furnish the certified copy of Acceptance letter for Tender work by the Executing Agency to the tender Winning Firm.

24. Furnish the certified copy of Work Order given to the Contractor by the Executing Department.

25. Furnish the Agreement Copy made between the Contractor and the Executive Agency for the projects mentioned above.

26. Furnish the certified copy of all Photograph of work items (Glossy paper) before starting of work and Photograph (Glossy Paper) after completion of work.

27. Furnish the Geo Coordinate information for the work mentioned above.

28. Furnish the certified Payment Details (Cheque no., voucher, PFMS etc. (Which ever method is used of payments)) of the project till date.

29. Furnish the certified copy of Physical Verification of the work by the Nodal Department before releasing the

The following were present.

Appellant

Present.

He states that information has been received but there are many shortcomings. The shortcomings have

been specifically pointed out in writing and handed over a copy to the representative of the PIO.

Respondent PIO

: Absent. Shri Jeki Kayi, JE, represented the PIO. He has agreed to workout the shortcoming information and furnish it to the appellant.

FAA

Absent.

Decision:

The Commission after adverting to the facts and circumstances of the case, and perusal of the records and the hearing the parties, observes that FAA has not disposed of the first appeal filed to him by following statutory procedure laid down in the Arunachal Pradesh Right to Information (Appeal Procedure) Rules, 2005. The FAA has written a letter dated 24.12.2024 to the PIO directing him to furnish the information to the appellant without procedural hearing to PIO and Appellant & disposal of the appeal. So, this appeal case is decided and determined in the absence of the FAA as made known to him in the hearing notice.

As laid down at para-38 of the Guidelines for the FAA issued by the GoI and the State Govt. OM No. AR-111/2008 Dated 21st August, 2008, adjudication on the appeals under RTI Act is a quasi-judicial function. It is, therefore, necessary that the Appellate Authority should see to it that the justice is not only done but it should also appear to have been done. In order to do so, the order passed by the appellate authority should be a speaking order giving justification for the decision arrived at.

Therefore, the instant appeal case is remanded to First Appellate Authority. Therefore, the **FAA** —**Chief Engineer**, **WRD** (**WZ**), **Itanagar Govt. of AP.**, following the principle of natural justice, shall conduct hearing giving fair and equal opportunity to both the appellant and the PIO and thereafter pass reasoned and speaking order on merit within two weeks from the date of receipt of this order i.eon or before 31.7.2025.

The appellant is at liberty to approach this commission:

- 1. If the Appellant is not satisfied with the information furnished to him by PIO based on the judgement order passed by the FAA.
- 2. If the FAA has denied the requested information based on specific exemptions/ grounds provided under the RTI Act, 2005.

Sd/-(Dani Gamboo) Information Commissioner

Authenticated	true	cor	V
Additionated	uuc		,,

APIC

Memo No. APIC-129/2025/

Dated Itanagar theJuly'2025.

Copy to:

1. Computer Programmer Itanagar APIC to upload in APIC website and mailed to concerned department email.

2. Office copy.

APIC Itanagar

Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission