



**ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH**

**An appeal case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005**

**Vide Case No.APIC-812/2025**

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI VIJAY TARAM, THE STATE  
INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.**

Shri Lokam Namdu

..... Appellant

**-VERSUS-**

PIO-Cum-DLRSO,  
Palin, Kurung Kumey District,  
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh

..... Respondent.

**Order:11.06.2026.**

**JUDGEMENT**

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the Appellants Shri Lokam Namdu and Pachang Tallo on **1/07/2025** filed an RTI application in Form- 'A' before the PIO-cum-District Land Revenue & Settlement Officer (DLRSO), Kurung Kumey District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh. Whereby seeking various information as quoted in Form 'A' application. The Appellants being not receiving the information from the PIO filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **08/08/2025**, and even then, the Appellants not receiving the required information from the PIO, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on **28/10/2025** and the Registry of the Commission (APIC) having receipt of the Appeal registered it as APIC-No. **812/A/2025** (Appeal) and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission on **26.03.2026 & 11.06.2026** wherein the Appellants absent continuously for the second time despite being notified of today's hearing in advance through WT message on 26.03.2026.

In the absence of the Appellants the Commission hereby observes;

1. When the appeal is preferred by an Appellant it is mandated that the Appellant has to pursue his appeal seriously without absenting in the hearings, when he/she is notified of the hearing. In the event of inability to attend the hearing due to unavoidable reason the Appellant has to give in writing to the court the reason for his inability to attend the hearing.
2. The Appellant(s) those who abstain from the hearing despite being notified of the hearing in advance are presumed by any court of law in India that the Appellant is not serious in his appeal rather he or she has filed the appeal against the respondent for his vested interest and to harass the respondent and waste the precious time of the respondent as well as the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission (APIC).

Under the above observation the Commission hereby dismiss this appeal for the lack of cause, for non seriousness of the

Appellants, for the lack of honoring the court notice for the hearing in this Commission, and therefore, this appeal is dismissed and closed once for all.

**Order;**

In view of the above facts and circumstances the Commission hereby dismiss this Appeal. And, accordingly, this Appeal stands dismissed and closed once for all.

Judgment pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 11<sup>th</sup> day of June' 2026.

Copy of this Judgment be furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on this 11<sup>th</sup> day of June' 2026.

Sd/-

**(Vijay Taram)**

State Information Commissioner  
APIC-Itanagar

Memo.No.APIC-812/A/2025

11331

Dated Itanagar, the 15 June, 2026.

Copy to:

1. PIO-Cum-DLRSO, Palin, K/Kumey District, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please. **Pin Code:791118**
2. Shri Lokam Namdu & Other, B-Sector, Naharlagun, P/Pare District Arunachal Pradesh for information please. **Contact No. 7005093416**
3. ✓ The Computer Programmer, APIC for uploading on the Website of APIC and mail it to the concerned department please.
4. Office Copy

*P. Raj*  
15/6/26  
Registrar/Dy. Registrar  
APIC, Itanagar.

**Deputy Registrar**  
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission  
Manager