



ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH

An appeal case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005

Vide Case No.APIC-06/C/2026

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI VIJAY TARAM, THE STATE
INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.**

Shri Bagemso Miti

..... Appellant

-VERSUS-

PIO-Cum-Executive Engineer, RWD,
Tezu, Lohit District,
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh

..... Respondent.

Order: 10.09.2026.

JUDGMENT

This is a complaint filed under sub-section (1) of Section 18 of the RTI 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the Complainant, Shri Bagemso Miti on **25/11/2025** filed an RTI application in form 'A' before the PIO-cum-Executive Engineer, RWD, Tezu, Lohit District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh. Whereby seeking various information as quoted in his Form 'A' application. The Complainant being not satisfied of the none admission of RTI application by the office of the PIO filed the complaint before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on **28/02/2026** and the Registry of the Commission (APIC) having receipt of the Complaint registered it as APIC- No. **06/C/2026** (Complaint) and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission on **2/07/2026 & 10/9/2026**

Details of Information sought from the PIO;

1. Certified copy of sanction order/approval letter issued towards maintenance fund allocation for PMGSY road(s) in respect of Paya-Jeko area from FY 2019-20 till date (FY 2025-26)
2. Year-wise details of sanctioned amount, amount released and amount utilized till date.
3. Purpose-wise utilization breakup with fund expenditure on each work item (earthwork, carpeting, culvert repair, drain clearance, etc.).
4. Certified copies of work orders, technical estimates, MB pages, completion/progress reports and inspection notes.
5. Name and address of contractor(s)/agency(ies) entrusted with the work along with certified copy of agreement/tender award order.
6. Certified copies of Utilization Certificates (UCs), fund release orders and forwarding notes.
7. Present status report of PMGSY road(s) in Paya-Jeko with photos/inspection record maintained in file.

8. Status of balance/unutilized funds along with reasons for delay in utilisation, if applicable.
9. New proposals/sanctions under FY 2025-26, if any.
10. Total PMGSY road length (in Km), year of road completion and date of last maintenance.
11. Certified copy of NQM/SQM/DLMC inspection reports if available.
12. Details of defects and action taken under DLP norms.

In the 2nd hearing held on 10th Sept' 2026, related to the APIC No-06/C/2026. The Appellant Shri Bagemso Mitti present, during the hearing through online mode.

The representative of the PIO-cum- Executive Engineer (EE) RWD Tezu Division, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Smt. Khoda Mima, Assistant Engineer (AE) RWD is present during the hearing through online mode.

Heard Appellant.

The Appellant stated that the PIO has provided him the information and, also went to the office of the PIO and inspected the information as presented by the office of the PIO. The Appellant further alleged that the work quality of the road is very low and to which statements from the Gaon Buras of 5 (five) villages have been submitted before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission (APIC) to prove that works have not been carried out in a qualitative way as prescribed under the DPR of said project.

Heard the representative of the PIO.

The representative of the PIO stated that whatever information that the Appellant has applied before the PIO have all been provided to the Appellant and also the Appellant was allowed to inspect the portal where rest of information are available soumoto and regarding the payment for the maintenance works the office of the PIO has not made any payments to any contractor for maintenance works since Sept'2025, because office of the PIO was not satisfied with some of the maintenance works that ought to have been carried out by the contractor with quality.

After hearing both the parties the Commission hereby observe;

- i) That the PIO has furnished all the information to the Appellant as per the form 'A' submitted by the Appellant before the PIO.
- ii) The Appellant's allegation against the PIO accusing of low quality of work and corruption before the State Information Commission (SIC), cannot be addressed by the Commission.
- iii) The PIO's office has performed the duties and responsibilities diligently as per the rules of the RTI Act 2005 by providing all the information as applied by the Appellant and also allowing the Appellant to inspect the site of the information in the portal where information are available soumoto.

Under the above observations and after examining the Appellant as well as cross examining the representative of the PIO the Commission hereby come to the decision that the information as sought by the Appellant all have been provided by the PIO and there is no further need to process this appeal for hearing anymore and therefore, this appeal is disposed of and closed once for all today.

Judgment pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this **10th day of Sept' 2026**.

Copy of this Judgment be furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Court on this **10th day of Sept' 2026**.

Sd/-

(Vijay Taram)

State Information Commissioner
APIC-Itanagar

Memo.No.APIC-06/C/2026 /100

Dated Itanagar, the 14th September, 2026.

Copy to:

1. PIO-Cum-Executive Engineer, RWD, Tezu, Lohit District, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please. **Pin Code:792001**
2. Shri Bagemso Miti, Hatiduba Village, Lohit District Arunachal Pradesh for information please. **Contact No. 8837021474**
- ✓ 3. The Computer Programmer, APIC for uploading on the Website of APIC and mail it to the concerned department please.
4. Office Copy

Pragati 11/09/26
Registrar/Dy. Registrar
APIC, Itanagar.

Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar