



ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH

A Complaint case U/S 18(1) of RTI Act, 2005

Vide Case No. APIC-04/2024

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI VIJAY TARAM, THE STATE
INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.**

Shri Nabam Tai

..... Appellant

-VERSUS-

PIO-Cum-DFO,
Khellong, West Kameng District,
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh

..... Respondent.

Order: 30.01.2025.

JUDGEMENT

This is a complaint filed under sub-section (1) of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the Complainant Shri Nabam Tai on **19/02/2024** filed an RTI application under Form-'A' before the PIO-Cum-DFO, Khellong, West Kameng District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh whereby, seeking various information, as quoted in Form-A application. The Complainant, being not satisfied with the information received from the PIO, filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **02/04/2024** the Complainant, again having not received the required information(s) from the FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on **14/08/2024** and the Registry of the Commission (APIC), having receipt of the Complaint registered it as **APIC No. 04/2024** and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission for 4 times i.e on **01/10/2024, 21/11/2024 and 30/01/2025**. The 3rd hearing held on **30th January, 2025** related to the **APIC-No-04/2024**. The Complainant and the PIO both are absent during the hearing before the Commission.

The Commission observed:

In the last hearing the representative of the PIO informed the Commission that the PIO has sent all the information(s) to the Complainant through Speed Post on 12.11.2024, and due to the absence of the Complainant in the last hearing on 21.11.2024 the receipt of the information(s) as stated by the representative of the PIO could not be ascertained and the Commission ordered to issue a summon notice to the Complainant to appear in person or through online mode in the Court of this Commission today i.e 30.01.2025 at 10:30 AM, the Commission also had ordered in the absence of the Complainant on the next date of hearing that is today this Complaint will be decided ex-parte. The Commission has issued the Summon notice to the Complainant on 21.11.2024 to be present today to ascertain receipt of the information(s) from the PIO which have been sent to him through Registered Post on 12.11.2024 from Khellong, West Kameng District, Arunachal Pradesh.

The Commission deems it that the information(s) sent to the Complainant on 12.11.2024 have been delivered to the Complainant and that is the reason why the Complainant has absented from the hearing of this Complaint consecutively twice despite issuing Summon notice to be present today and on top of all after being made to know that this instant Complaint will be decided ex-parte in his absence.

Under the above facts and circumstances while seeing the non-seriousness of the Complainant, the Court of this Commission dispose this Complaint Judiciously. And, accordingly, this Complaint stands disposed of and closed once for all.

Judgment/Order pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 30th day of January' 2025. Each copy of judgment/order be furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission/Court on this 30th day of January' 2025.

Sd/-

(Vijay Taram)

State Information Commissioner
APIC-Itanagar

Memo.No.APIC-04/2024/580 **Dated Itanagar, the 07th February, 2025.**

Copy to:

1. PIO-Cum-DFO, Khellong Forest Div. W/Kameng District, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please. **Pin Code-790114.**
2. Shri Nabam Tai, Nirjuli, P/Pare District Arunachal Pradesh for information please. **Contact No. 8798128194**
3. The Computer Programmer, APIC for uploading on the Website of APIC please.
4. Office Copy.


Registrar/Dy. Registrar
APIC, Itanagar.

Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commissioner
Itanagar.