सूचना का
अधिकार
RIGHT TO
INFORMATION

ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH

An appeal case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005

Vide Case No.APIC-107/2026

BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI VIJAY TARAM, THE STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.

Shri Riya Taram ..... **Appellant**

-VERSUS-

PIO-Cum-Executive Engineer, Electrical,
Tawang Div. Tawang District,
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh ..... **Respondent.**

Order:**08.10.2026**.

EX-PARTE JUDGEMENT

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the Appellant Shri Riya Taram on **15/09/2025** filed an RTI application in Form-'A' before the PIO-cum-Executive Engineer (EE), Electrical, Tawang Division, Tawang District. Whereby seeking various information as quoted in his Form 'A' application. The Appellant being not receiving the information from the PIO, filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **07/11/2025**. The Appellant again having not received the required information from the FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on **14/01/2026** and the Registry of the Commission (APIC) having receipt of the Appeal registered it as **APIC-No. 107/A/2026** (Appeal) and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission on **21.05.2026, 30.07.2026 & 08.10.2026** wherein the Appellant found absent during the last hearing held on 30.07.2026 and today on 08.10.2026 before the Commission.

The PIO-cum-Executive Engineer, Electrical, Tawang Division, Tawang District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh is present during the hearing before the Commission.

The Appellant Shri Riya Taram is absent without reporting the reason for his absence for the 2nd consecutive time despite notice for hearing served to him at his address as provided in his Form-A on 21.05.2026 and 30.07.2026.

Heard the PIO;

The PIO stated that the Form-A submitted by the Appellant pertains to seeking information from the year 2015 to 2025, but the fact remains that there is an enquiry going on by the Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI) in relation to a Supreme Court order, wherein, the enquiry is going on for all the works from the year 2015 to 2025, and in this connection there is a clear order from the CBI not to disclose any or part of the information of this period till the enquiry is completed, to any individual or to any party, or else the information sought by the Appellant could have been provided to him on time. For the enquiry and order of the CBI, the information as sought by the Appellant have not been provided to him till now.

After hearing the PIO the Commission hereby observes;

1) The Appellant was absent in the 2nd hearing on 30.07.2026 without reason reported for his absence to the Commission, despite notice served to him on 25.05.2026. It is to be noted here that in the 2nd hearing on 30.07.2026, the court of this Commission ordered and served notice to the Appellant to be present in the next hearing which is today, with a clear order that in the event of any consecutive absence of the Appellant in the

hearing without reporting the reason for his absence, the Commission will hear and determine this appeal ex-parte.

2) Despite the order and the notice for today's hearing, the Appellant is again absent without reporting the reason for his absence.

3) The statements of the PIO is authenticated by the exhibit that he has produced before the Commission regarding the order of the CBI and the Commission consider his submission and taking into consideration the submission of the PIO and going through the exhibit and the order of the CBI, the Commission hereby pass the below orders;

4) The submission of the PIO is considered to the effect that in the event of an enquiry that is ordered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court for the investigation and the subsequent order of the CBI ordering the PIO not to disclose the information to any individual or party till the enquiry is completed, AND, the Commission while taking serious note on the Appellant for his consecutive absence despite consecutive notice to be present in the hearing of the appeal, with a clear order that consecutive absence by the Appellant will prompt the Commission to hear and determine this appeal ex-parte, the Commission hereby dispose this appeal ex-parte and close it once for all.

In view of the above facts and circumstances the Commission dispose this Appeal ex-parte. And, accordingly, this Appeal stands disposed of and closed once for all.

Judgment pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 8th day of October' 2026.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on this 8th day of October' 2026.

Sd/-

(Vijay Taram)
State Information Commissioner
APIC-Itanagar

Memo.No.APIC-107/A/2026/114 Dated Itanagar, the 13 October, 2026.

Copy to:

1. PIO-Cum-EE, Electrical Dept. Tawang Div. Tawang District, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please. **Pin Code:790104**
2. Shri Riya Taram, Jollang, Itanagar, P/Parte District Arunachal Pradesh for information please. **Contact No. 9383103387**
3. The Computer Programmer, APIC for uploading on the Website of APIC and mail it to the concerned department please.
4. Office Copy

Registrar/Dy. Registrar
APIC, Itanagar.

Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar