



ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH

An appeal case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005

Vide Case No.APIC-899/2025

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI VIJAY TARAM, THE STATE
INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.**

Shri Lokam Namdu

..... Appellant

-VERSUS-

PIO-Cum-Executive Engineer, UD & Housing,
Ziro, Lower Subansiri District,
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh

..... Respondent.

Order: 21.07.2026.

JUDGMENT FOR DISMISSING APPEAL

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the Appellant Shri Lokam Namdu on **03/10/2025** filed an RTI application in Form- 'A' before the PIO-cum-Executive Engineer (EE), UD & Housing, Ziro, Lower Subansiri District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh. Whereby seeking various information as quoted in Form 'A' application. The Appellant being not receiving the information from the PIO filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **06/11/2025**, and even then, the Appellant not receiving the required information from the PIO, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on **11/12/2025** and the Registry of the Commission (APIC) having received the Appeal registered it as APIC-No. **899/A/2025** (Appeal) and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission on **07.05.2026 & 21.07.2026**. In the second hearing both the parties are present during the hearing before the Commission.

1. Brief Facts of the Case:

The Appellant filed an RTI application dated 13.10.2025 seeking various sets of information concerning works under funding head of SADA/ADA/BE/RE/SIDF/TIED/UNTIED Fund from the year 2019 to 2025.

The PIO respondent stated that the information sought were non-specific and voluminous.

Consequently, the Appellant approached this Commission.

2. Observation of the Commission:

The Commission has carefully perused the RTI application filed by the Appellant. After thorough examination of the queries, the Commission observes the following legal infirmities: Violation of Section 6(1): Under Section 6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, an applicant is required to make a request for "specified" information. The application filed by Shri Lokam Namdu is found to be general, vague, and lacks specificity. The Appellant has sought "all documents," "entire records," and "complete details" of various projects without mentioning specific dates, work order numbers, or multiple years of information making it impossible for the PIO to identify the exact records and compile the information on time for such vast and voluminous information.

Applicability of Section 7(9): Section 7(9) of the RTI Act states that information shall be provided in the form in which it is sought unless it would "disproportionately divert the resources of the public authority." The Commission finds that the Appellant's request is so voluminous and ambiguous that compiling such non-specific data would require the PIO-cum-Executive

Engineer, UD & Housing, Ziro Division to deploy its entire workforce solely for this purpose, thereby hampering their core developmental and administrative functions.

3. Legal Precedents:

The Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission (APIC) places reliance on the Hon'ble Supreme Court's judgment in CBSE vs. Aditya Bandopadhyay (2011), wherein it was observed:

"The RTI Act should not be allowed to be misused or abused, to become a tool to obstruct the national development and integration, or to destroy the peace, tranquillity and harmony among its citizens. Nor should it be converted into a tool of oppression or intimidation of honest officials striving to do their duty".

Furthermore, the Central Information Commission (CIC) has repeatedly ruled that a PIO cannot be expected to "locate, collect, and collate" non-specific information which is not available in a summarized format.

4. Decision:

In view of the facts and circumstances mentioned above, the Commission finds that the present Form A application of the Appellant is not in conformity with the spirit of the RTI Act, 2005. A "fishing and roving" inquiry into the affairs of a public authority through an ambiguous application cannot be entertained.

The Commission, therefore, holds that the PIO-cum-Executive Engineer, UD & Housing, Ziro Division, Lower Subansiri District, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh is not under any legal obligation to provide the information in the current form of the application.

5. Order:

Accordingly, the Second Appeal filed by Shri Lokam Namdu is hereby dismissed.

However, the Appellant is at liberty to file a fresh, specific, and concise RTI application focusing on particular documents or records as per the requirements of Section 6(1) of the Act.

In view of the above facts and circumstances the Commission dismiss this Appeal. And, accordingly, this Appeal stands dismissed and closed once for all.

Judgment pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 21st day of July' 2026.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on this 21st day of July' 2026.

Sd/-

(Vijay Taram)

State Information Commissioner
APIC-Itanagar

Memo.No.APIC-899/A/2025 / 3

Dated Itanagar, the 23 July, 2026.

Copy to:

1. PIO-Cum-Executive Engineer, UD & Housing, Ziro, L/Subansiri District, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please. **Pin Code:791120**
2. Shri Lokam NAMdu, B-Sector, Naharlagun, P/Pare District Arunachal Pradesh for information please. **Contact No. 9362166831**
3. ✓ The Computer Programmer, APIC for uploading on the Website of APIC and mail it to the concerned department please.
4. Office Copy

Praj...
Registrar/Dy. Registrar
APIC, Itanagar.

Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission