सूचना का अधिकार
RIGHT TO INFORMATION

ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH

An appeal case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005

Vide Case No.APIC-345/2026

BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI VIJAY TARAM, THE STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.

Shri J. Toko Tania ..... **Appellant**

-VERSUS-

PIO-Cum-Arunachal Pradesh Public Service Commission,
Itanagar, Papum Pare District,
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh ..... **Respondent.**

Order:**27.10.2026**.

JUDGEMENT

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the Appellant, Shri J.Toko Tania, on **02/02/2026** filed an RTI application in form 'A' before the PIO office of the Arunachal Pradesh Public Service Commissin (APPSC), Itanagar, Papumpare District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh. Whereby seeking various information as quoted in his Form 'A' application. The Appellant being not receiving the information from the PIO filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **16/03/2026**. Appellant again having not received the complete information from the FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on **27/04/2026** and the Registry of the Commission (APIC) having receipt of the Appeal registered it as APIC- No. **345/A/2026** (Appeal) and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission on **27.10.2026.** In the first hearing held today, the Appellant, Shri J.Toko. Tania is present during the hearing before the Commission.

The PIO of the office of the Arunachal Pradesh Public Service Commission (APPSC), Itanagar, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh is present during the hearing before the Commission.

Heard the Appellant;

The Appellant stated that he has filed the Form-A before the PIO on 2nd February' 2026, regarding three information specifically, but the PIO has not provided him the information, and therefore, he has filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority (FAA) and there also the FAA has not provided him the complete information, and so, he has filed this Second Appeal before the APIC.

Heard the PIO;

The PIO stated that the information as applied by the Appellant pertains to mark sheet of the Candidate/Appellant himself bearing Roll No. 102889 for the Assistant Engineer (AE) mains which as per the manual conduct of examination will be uploaded after expiry of three months i.e the final result was declared on 27.02.2026. For uploading the result for public notice as per manual conduct examination three months time runs till 27.05.2026. The Form-A of the Appellant was filed before the office of the PIO pre-maturely on 02.02.2026 even before the result came out. So the rules binding upon the manual of conduct of examination, the office of the PIO was unable to provide the information to the Appellant, but now the time of three months have lapsed and so, the information as applied by the Appellant have been brought in the court of APIC to be handed over to the Appellant and the PIO is handing over the information to the Appellant today before the Court of the Commission.

After hearing both the parties, the Commission hereby observes;

i) Going by the manual and conduct of the examination rules governing the APPSCE, the Form-A filed by the Appellant is pre-matured.

ii) The PIO has not furnished the information to the Appellant till now, due to the pre-mature filing of the Form-A of the Appellant before the PIO.

iii) However, as the mandatory period of three months time for uploading marks statement of the Appellant as per manual of conduct of the examination has passed and so, the information have been brought to the court today by the PIO and regarding the other information, the FAA has already provided the information to the Appellant on 22.04.2026.

iv) By the above observations and cross checking of the facts of the Appellant and the statement of both the parties, the Commission opine that the information withheld till now is considered because the PIO was bound under rules of the manual and conduct of the examination, but as the minimum time that of three months, have passed, the information applied by the Appellant are brought before the court by the PIO, and the PIO has handed over the information to the Appellant, and therefore, the Commission does not find any further need to hear this appeal, and so, this appeal is disposed of today.

In view of the above facts and circumstances the Commission, find this appeal fit to be disposed of today. And accordingly this appeal stands disposed of and closed.

Judgment pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this **27th day of October' 2026**.

Copy of this Judgment be furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Court on this **27th day of October' 2026.**

Sd/-

(Vijay Taram)
State Information Commissioner
APIC-Itanagar

Memo.No.APIC-345/A/2026 /184 **Dated Itanagar, the** 30 **October, 2026.**

Copy to:

1. PIO-O/O- APPSC, Itanagar, P/Pare District, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please. **Pin Code:791111**
2. Shri J. Toko Tania, Doimukh, P/Pare District Arunachal Pradesh for information please. **Contact No. 7629815809**
3. The Computer Programmer, APIC for uploading on the Website of APIC and mail it to the concerned department please.
4. Office Copy.

Registrar/Dy. Registrar
APIC, Itanagar.

Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar