सूचना का
अधिकार
RIGHT TO
INFORMATION

ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH
An appeal case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005
Vide Case No.APIC-851/2025

BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI VIJAY TARAM, THE STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.

Shri Aru Laa ..... **Appellant**

-VERSUS-

PIO-Cum-Executive Engineer, RWD,
Raga, Kamle District,
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh ..... **Respondent.**

Order: **05.11.2026**.

JUDGEMENT

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the Appellant, Shri Aru Laa on **30/08/2025** filed an RTI application in form 'A' before the PIO-cum-Executive Engineer (EE), RWD, Raga Division, Kamle District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh. Whereby seeking various information as quoted in Form 'A' application. The Appellant being not receiving the information from the PIO filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **09/10/2025**. Appellant again having not received the required information from the FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on **14/11/2025** and the Registry of the Commission (APIC) having receipt of the Appeal registered it as APIC- No. **851/A/2025** (Appeal) and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission on **07.04.2026, 25.08.2026 & 05.11.2026**

In the third hearing held today on **05.11.2026,** wherein, the Appellant Shri Aru Laa is present during the hearing before the Commission.

The PIO-cum-EE, RWD, Raga Division, Kamle District is represented by Shri Tami Hokom, Assistant Engineer (AE), RWD, Raga Division, Kamle District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh during the hearing before the Commission.

Heard the Appellant;

The Appellant stated that the PIO has provided him the information in piece meal basis and almost all the information have been provided to him except the work agreement copy with the firm which was awarded the maintenance work by the PIO's office.

Heard the representative of the PIO;

The representative of the PIO assured the court of the Commission, that the office of the PIO will give in written to the Appellant the work for which he has applied information for has been awarded on work order basis and not through tender, and therefore, there was no agreement of the work between the firm and the department.

After hearing both the parties, the Commission hereby observes;

i) The statement of the Appellant to the effect that rest of the information have been provided to him except the work agreement between the office of the PIO and the contracting firm to which the representative of the PIO stated that as the work was not awarded by tender basis, there is no need of work agreement between the department and firm, so it was not given to the Appellant but the process lies that the PIO is to give in written for whatever information, the Appellant has applied and PIO's duty lies in providing the information or giving in written clarification for any information that cannot be provided.

With the above observation, the Commission hereby pass the bellow orders;

1) The PIO to provide in written to the Appellant stating that the work for which the Appellant has applied the information(s) for has been awarded through work order basis and not through inviting of tender, and therefore, there is no agreement between the department and the firm, within one month time from today i.e on or before 5th day of December' 2026.
2) The Appellant to approach the office of the PIO to inquire whether the information is ready or not, which is to be provided to him in the mean time.
3) In the event of the PIO not furnishing the information to the Appellant within one month time, the Appellant to intimate this court of the Commission about the matter, so as to initiate disciplinary action against the PIO.

With the above orders and observations, the Commission hereby dispose of this appeal.

Under the above facts and circumstances the Commission, find this appeal fit to be disposed and closed. And accordingly this appeal stands disposed of and closed.

Judgment pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this **5th day of November 2026**.

Copy of this Judgment be furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Court on this **5th day of November 2026.**

Sd/-

(Vijay Taram)
State Information Commissioner
APIC-Itanagar

Memo.No.APIC-851/A/2025 /219 **Dated Itanagar, the** 10 **November, 2026.**

Copy to:

1. PIO-Cum-Executive Engineer, RWD, Raga, Kamle District, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please. **Pin Code:791120**
2. Shri Aru Laa, Laa Village, Raga, Kamle District Arunachal Pradesh for information please. **Contact No. 9612211515**
3. The Computer Programmer, APIC for uploading on the Website of APIC and mail it to the concerned department please.
4. Office Copy.

Registrar/Dy. Registrar
APIC, Itanagar.

Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar