



ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH

An appeal case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005

Vide Case No.APIC-187/2026

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI VIJAY TARAM, THE STATE
INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.**

Shri Kipa Natung

..... Appellant

-VERSUS-

PIO-Cum-Directorate of Agriculture,
Naharlagun, Papum Pare District,
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh

..... Respondent.

Order: 08.09.2026.

JUDGMENT FOR DISMISSING THE APPEAL

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the Appellant Shri Kipa Natung on **05/09/2025** filed an RTI application in Form- 'A' before the PIO-cum-Director of Agriculture, Naharlagun, Papumpare District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh. Whereby seeking various information as quoted in Form 'A' application. The Appellant being not receiving the information from the PIO filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **31/10/2025**, and even then, the Appellant not receiving the required information from the PIO, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on **30/01/2026** and the Registry of the Commission (APIC) having receipt of the Appeal registered it as APIC-No. **187/A/2026** (Appeal) and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission on **11.06.2026 & 08.09.2026**, wherein the Appellant Shri Kipa Natung absent consecutively for the 2nd time despite notice for the hearing sent to him from the Registrar of Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission (APIC) on 02.04.2026 and 11.06.2026.

In the second hearing held today, the PIO is present during the hearing before the Commission.

Heard the PIO;

The PIO stated that all the information(s) as applied by the Appellant have been provided to him, except certain personal information that are under SNA for which the office of the PIO cannot provided information to the Appellant, without the consent of the individuals.

The rest of the information were provided to an authorized person of the Appellant, Shri Lika Takar on 05.01.2026, and further it is to be mentioned that all the information have been provided to the Appellant free of cost, without charging any fees.

After hearing the PIO the Commission hereby observes;

- i) The repeated absence of the Appellant despite being notified for the hearing date as mentioned above proves that the Appellant has been provided all the information(s) by the PIO.
- ii) Such non-serious Appellant proves themselves to be filing frivolous Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission (APIC) which is bad in Law, while exercising statutory legal provisions and such act further proves the intention to harass the PIO as well as waste the precious time of the Commission, and therefore, taking into note of the repeated absence of the Appellant in the hearings by not responding the notice issued by the APIC, this appeal is dismissed and closed once for all.

In view of the above facts and circumstances the Commission dismiss this Appeal. And, accordingly, this Appeal stands dismissed and closed once for all.

Judgment pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 8th day of September' 2026.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on this 8th day of September' 2026.

Sd/-

(Vijay Taram)

State Information Commissioner
APIC-Itanagar

Memo.No.APIC-187/A/2026 /104

Dated Itanagar, the 14th September, 2026.

Copy to:

1. PIO-O/O-Director of Agriculture, Naharlagun, P/Pare District, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please. **Pin Code:791110**
2. Shri Kipa Natung, Dariya Hill, Itanagar, P/Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh for information please. **Contact No. 6009422734**
- ✓ 3. The Computer Programmer, APIC for uploading on the Website of APIC and mail it to the concerned department please.
4. Office Copy

P. K. Singh
Registrar/Dy. Registrar
APIC, Itanagar.

Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission