



सूचना का
अधिकार
RIGHT TO
INFORMATION

ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION
ITANAGAR.

An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005
Case No. APIC- 912/2025.

APPELLANT : Shri Bengia Papo, Akum Hapa Colony chimpu.
RESPONDENT : The PIO, o/o the DLRSO Koloriang Kurung Kumey Dstt.

ORDER

This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 received from Shri Bengia Papo for non-furnishing of below mentioned information by the PIO, o/o the DLRSO, Koloriang Kurung Kumey District (A.P) as sought for by him under section 6(1) (Form-A) of RTI Act, 2005 vide his application dated 12.08.2025.

A.Particular of information: To furnish all the relevant information against the Arunachal Frontier Highway viz. 1 . LADA to Sarli as per chain age No. 182/ 800-183/ 400 and package No. 6 & 7 in respect to the beneficiaries list 374 been mentioned as Bengia Nani-vs- Pisa Rai 2024-25

B.Details of information required:

1. Furnish the billing ID No. of the aforementioned person as per change age number.
2. Furnish total Aq. Meter of the land affected.
3. Furnish the total No. of Tasey tree.
4. Furnish the coloring photo of the Land.
5. Furnish the Geo tag report of the land.
6. Furnish the officials and officers who had executed the survey.
7. Furnish the video graph of the land affected owner.
8. Furnish the total bill amounts.
9. Furnish 3 three nos. of pass photo size.
10. Furnish the diary note/ copy of the documents record during the time of survey and land verification.
11. Furnish the land demarcation/ name / village under which the bill amount is prepared in the name of Shri Pisa Rai.
12. Furnish the video graph of the land of Shri Pisa Rai.
13. Furnish the money shares between the Pisa Rai and Nani.
14. Furnish the copy of agreement deed between Pisa Rai and Bengia Nabriang.

C. Period for which information asked for: 2024-2025.

Brief facts emerging from the appeal and decision:

Records emerging from the appeal disclose that the appellant, Bengia Papo had requested the PIO, o/o the DLRSO, Koloriang, Kurung Kumey District, (A.P) for the aforementioned information/documents but failed to obtain the same which prompted him to file 1st appeal before Deputy Commissioner, Kurung Kumey District, the First Appellate Authority, (FAA) under Section 19 (1) of the RTI Act, 2005 vide his Memo of Appeal dtd. 25.10.2025. However, the appellant failed yet again to obtain the information and therefore, he preferred 2nd appeal before this Commission under section 19 (3) of the RTI Act. 2005 vide his Memo of appeal dt. 16.12.2025.

This appeal was heard on 03.07.2026 wherein the appellant, Shri Bengia Papo was present in person but the PIO did not appear.

This Commission, after hearing the appellant and upon perusal of the records, however, found that the First Appellate Authority, the Deputy Commissioner, Koloriang, Kurung Kumey District did not adjudicate on this appeal. Therefore, vide order dt. 03.07.26, this appeal was remanded to the First Appellate Authority, the Deputy Commissioner, Kurung Kumey District, Koloriang for adjudication and passing an appropriate order within 4(four) weeks from the date of receipt of this order with liberty to the appellant to prefer his 2nd appeal before this Commission if dissatisfied or aggrieved by the decision of the First Appellate Authority.

The appellant in terms of liberty granted to him, has vide his letter dt. 04.09.26 (reproduced hereunder), intimated that the PIO did not comply with this Commission's order dt.03.07.26 remanding this appeal to the FAA, the DC, Kiurung Kumey.

"Sub: No response from the PIO i.e. O/O DLR SO, Koloriang, District Kurung Kumey (A.P)-791118 and not complying the ordinance from the Honorable Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission order dated 3rd day of July, 2026 been registered as APIC-912/2025.

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that I have not got any response from the PIO DLR SO, Koloriang Division District Kurung Kumey (A.P), the hearing was conducted on 3rd of July 2026 and it is found that the Honorable State Information commissioner has directed the concerned PIO to furnish the information/ documents within 4 (four) weeks time, but the concerned PIO has not given any response to the Honorable State Information commissioner order and still is luring by the concerned PIO, the information been sought under section 19 (3) of RTI Act, 2005 to the appellant within one month i.e. 30 days from the ordinance of the state information commissioner. Therefore, in this regard it is to inform you that I have not got any response from the concerned public information officer (PIO) and no any form of written objection has received so far. Be it pertaining to mentioned that the appellant is law abiding citizen and is humbly requested under your esteemed office to issue a fresh notice/summon of hearing. Been quasi- judicial authority, it is hereby been Received sumi by hand Som Appellant informed that the PIO is liable under the default of RTI Act, 2005 and the same can be reliable under specific section of the RTI Act, and after prolong hearing and issue of order from the state information commissioner and denying to furnished the information been shocked, not only hampers the information seekers but also to the informant authority i.e. State information commissioner. In same, the second appeal before the state Information commission is need to further proceed the case before its been adjudicate into the FAA.

Therefore, I earnestly request under your good office to kindly take an appropriate action as per the law established under the right to Information Act, 2005, and since no response from the PIO, a fair hearing need to conduct for end of justice.

For this act of kindness the appellant in duty bound shall ever pray.

With regards.

Yours faithfully

Sd/-

(Mr.Bengia Papo)."

In this regard it is pertinent to note that the Hon'ble Gauhati High Court, Itanagar Bench, by order dt.25.03.26, in WP(C)/113/2026 (Pudom Taku Vs. Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission and Anr.), had stayed the Show Cause Notice dt.06.03.26 issued by this Commission to the PIO, the DLR & SO, Kraa Daadi for

non- compliance of this Commission's direction to furnish the information pertaining to execution of road (Package-3) of Huri-Taliha section of NH-913 (Frontier Highway). This Commission notices that the information/documents sought for in the instant appeal also pertain to the construction of road from Sarli to Lada covered under the same project, the Frontier Highway. The grounds considered by the Hon'ble High Court in staying the Show Cause notice to the PIO, inter alia, is that the land acquisition affects the sovereignty and integrity of India covered by national security exemption u/s-8(1)(a) of the RTI Act, 2005, in terms whereof the State Govt. of A.P also issued a Circular No.Secy(LM)-06/2021, dt.15.03.2021.

Since the information/documents sought for in the present case pertain to the same project located contiguously, is covered squarely by the aforesaid stay order dt.25.03.26 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in view whereof, this Commission is not inclined to take up the instant appeal until final outcome of the aforesaid writ petition filed by the PIO, the DLR & SO, Kraa Daadi is known and accordingly, the adjudication upon this appeal is kept suspended.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on this 21st Oct., 2026.

Sd/-
(S. TSERING BAPPU)
State Information Commissioner,
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo No. APIC-912/2025 / 1903 Dated Itanagar, the 21 Oct., 2026

Copy to:

1. The Deputy Commissioner, Kurung Kumey District, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) PIN: 791118 for information.
2. The PIO, o/o the DLR & SO, Koloriang Kurung Kumey District (A.P) PIN: 791118 for information.
3. Shri Bengia Papo, Akum Hapa Colony Chimpu PIN: 791113 Mobile NO. 8258820863/9402798349 for information.
4. The Computer Programmer/Computer Operator for uploading on the website of APIC, please.
5. Office Copy.
6. S/Copy.

Registrar/ Deputy Registrar
APIC, Itanagar.

Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar