



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**ITANAGAR.**

**An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005**  
**Case No. APIC- 641/2025.**

**APPELLANT**  
**RESPONDENT**

: Shri Sengman Rongrang, Changlang.  
: The PIO, o/o the EE (PWD), Changlang Division,  
Changlang District, A.P.

**ORDER**

This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 received from Shri Sengman Rongrang for non-furnishing of information Budget Estimate Maintenance of Assets and (M&R, OE & POL up to 2023-25) by the PIO, o/o the EE (PWD), Changlang Division, Changlang, as sought for by him under section 6(1) (Form-A) of RTI Act, 2005 vide his application dated 24.03.2025.

This Commission heard this appeal on 20.03.2026 wherein the appellant, Shri Sengman Rongrang was present in person while the PIO was represented by Er. Shri Takhe Rika, A.E.

The appellant then submitted that the o/o the PIO has furnished replies/information against few queries only but he did not properly elaborate the specific points against which the information/replies were not furnished. The AE, on the other hand submitted that the o/o the PIO has furnished whatever information as requested were available in the office. He also brought in some more documents which were handed over to the appellant with direction to go through the documents and report the shortcomings, if any, to the PIO within one week pointing out specific points against which replies have not been furnished. The PIO was also directed to furnish appropriate replies to those points within 1(one) month from the date of receipt of the order. It was also made clear that if the o/o the PIO does not hold any of the requested information/documents, then as mandated under section -7(8)(i) of the RTI Act, the reason for denial of the information has to be communicated to the applicant to his satisfaction by way of an affidavit as mandated by section-18(3)(c) of the RTI Act, 2005 and under rule- 5(vi) of the AP Information Commission (Appeal Procedure) Rules, 2005.

The PIO was, thus, directed to comply with the above order and report compliance thereof to this Commission on 22.04.2026.

On 22.04.2026, the appellant appeared through VC while the PIO, Er. Shri N. Chilliang, EE appeared in person.

This Commission after hearing the parties and perusing the appellant's letter dt.25.03.2025 to the PIO indicating the left out documents/information, a copy whereof was handed over to the PIO, directed the PIO to go through the contents of the letter properly and furnish the left out information/documents to the appellant within 2(two) weeks or before 06.05.26.

The PIO was also again reminded of the requirement of law that if the o/o the PIO does not hold any of the requested information/documents, then as mandated under section -7(8)(i) of the RTI Act, the reason for denial of the information has to be communicated to the applicant to his satisfaction by way of an affidavit as mandated by section-18(3)(c) of the RTI Act, 2005 r/w rule-5(vi) of the AP Information Commission (Appeal Procedure) Rules, 2005. The PIO was also directed to facilitate site visit by the appellant as requested by him

This Commission is now in receipt of letter dt.05.05.2026 from the appellant, as reproduced hereunder, complaining, inter alia, that the PIO despite this Commission's direction, did not facilitate the site inspection not furnish the left out information but informed him through an unknown mobile that the information has been sent through PARCEL bearing Article No. EE476674286IN (SP-INLAND-PARCEL).

*"Respected Sir,*

*In the above mentioned subject sir, as per your direction to the PIO in court room on 22 April, 2026. You intimate PIO to entertain the applicant for construction side inspection along with side engineer on genuine ground. And same matter I wrote letter two times earlier to the PIO Refer my letter Reference No. RTI/APL/LR/03/2026 Dated Manmao the 25th March 2026 and RTI/CHG/02/2025 Dated Changlang the 29th Aug, 2025. But unfortunate Till date my appeal has been denied by the concerned authority. On the other hand, the left out information I sought very precisely from the concerned authority but they failed to provide the information under stipulated time as per your Memo no. APIC-641/2025/743 Dated Itanagar the 23 March, 2026. After multiple failure to provide the information from the end of concerned authority in recently court hearing on 22nd April 2026 you intimidated to PIO to provided all the sought information on or before 6th May, 2026, if they failed to provide by any reasons or else authority must produce Court affidavit paper in details to the applicant, sir in this regard I was not inform or invite by the concerned authority to discuss the matter and suddenly in the last moment from unknown phone no. 8969739532 I recipes whatsapp message around 8:56 PM on 4th May 2026, later I was informed through the message from the same unknown phone no. That the information of my RTI is sent through PARCEL bearing Article No. EE476674286IN (SP-INLAND-PARCEL) and adding to it for your kind information I asked the unknown person to reveal his designation but he didn't response.*

*Sir, in this regard I sincerely request you with folded hand through you from the authority provide me the information physically so that the miscommunication should not arises and the matter should resolved in earliest manner sometime postal may take more time to reach the location and specially where I belong the Communication and Connectivity mode is very worse in such condition some the miscommunication arises between both the party.*

*With this I am looking for positive response from your end sir,*

*Sd/-*

*Sengman Ronrang (RTI Activist). "*

It transpires from the appellant's complaint as above that the PIO did not comply with the direction of this Commission for site inspection which is one of the rights provided to the appellant under section 2(j)(i) the RTI Act, 2005. However, the PIO, it appears, has furnished the left out information to the appellant through speed post.

The above being the position, this Commission again directs the PIO to facilitate the site inspection by the appellant on a mutually convenient date within 2(two) weeks from the date of this order. Meanwhile, the appellant shall wait for the document/information sent by the PIO through Post which, on receipt, he shall go through and report the shortcoming, if any, to this Commission within 3(three) weeks from the date of receipt of this order.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on this 11<sup>th</sup> May, 2026.

Sd/-

(S. TSERING BAPPU)  
State Information Commissioner,  
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo No. APIC-641/2025 / 818

Dated Itanagar, the 11 May, 2026

Copy to:

- 1) The Chief Engineer (PWD), Govt. of A.P, Eastern Zone, Namsai, Namsai District, First Appellate Authority (FAA), for information and ensuring compliance by the PIO concerned.
- 2) The PIO, o/o the EE (PWD), Changlang Division, Changlang District (Pin Code: 792103) for information and compliance.
- 3) Shri Sengman Rongrang, Sinwang Village, PO/PS- Changlang (Contact No. 7628025939) for information.
- 4) The Computer Programmer/Computer Operator for uploading on the website of APIC, please.
- 5) Office Copy.
- 6) S/Copy.

*P. Rang...*  
Registrar/ Deputy Registrar  
APIC, Itanagar

Deputy Registrar  
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission  
Manager