



ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION
ITANAGAR.

An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005
Case No. APIC- 21/2026.

APPELLANT : Shri Nabam Tadap, Langdung Village.
RESPONDENT : The PIO, o/o the EE (RWD), Papum Poma Division, Papum Pare District (A.P)

ORDER

This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 received from Shri Nabam Tadap for non-furnishing of below mentioned information by the PIO, o/o the EE (RWD), Papum Poma Division, Papum Pare district (A.P) as sought for by him under section 6(1) (Form-A) of RTI Act, 2005 vide his application dated 15.09.2025.

A) Particulars of information: Regarding construction of Hollongicharali to Balijan HQ (upgradation) PMGSY.

B) Details of Information:

1. The guideline for implementation of PMGSY road.
2. The sanctioned orders copy of c/o Hollongicharali to Balijan HQ (upgradation).
3. The detailed project report/ drawing designed of the said road.
4. The NIT copy with date and published newspaper name.
5. The check list of total firms participated during the NIT.
6. The showing comparative statement of the L1, L2, L3 of the bidders.
7. The name and details of the firm to whom the road project was awarded.
8. The Bill of Quantity of the winning firm.
9. The acceptance letter copy by the winning firm.
10. The geo tagging copy of the three stages:- before the project commenced, after the project started and after the completion of the project.
11. The copy of counter foil of cheque/ PFMS Generated for print payment advise copy in every payment.
12. The total tender bidding amount.
13. The copy of counter foil of cheque/ PFMS Generated for print payment advise copy in every payment.
14. The how many amount has been deducted for TDS/ GST viz, CGST. SGST/ Income tax or others taxable etc details please.
15. The third party monitoring team carried out report copy submitted against said project viz (i) Soil/ sub grade tests copy (ii) aggregate tests copy (iii) Bitumen tests copy (iv) cement/ concrete tests copy (v) field/ in-situ test copy (vi) pavement quality/ finished test copy along with all the tests CD/ videography/ photo copy.
16. The Utilization Certificate of the said project along with audit report copy.
17. The measurement book of every bill paid for the said project with the first and final bill form copy.
18. The approval letter by Chief Engineer RWD and the Superintending Engineer PWD of tender balance amount.
19. The copy of cost justification of balance amount/ fund.

20. Whether the surrender amount has been utilized or deposited? If utilize provide the work order or tender or tender documents where the amount was utilized?
21. The status report said road copy.

Brief facts emerging from the appeal :

Records emerging from the appeal disclose that the appellant, Shri Nabam Tadap had requested the PIO for the aforementioned information / documents but failed to obtain the same which prompted him to appeal before the Superintending Engineer (RWD), Itanagar, Capital Circle, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, the First Appellate Authority under Section 19 (1) of RTI Act, 2005 vide Memo of Appeal dt. 19.11.2025. However, the appellant having failed yet again to obtain the information preferred 2nd appeal before this Commission under Section 19 (3) of the RTI Act, 2005 vide Memo of Appeal dt. 02.01.2026 .

This appeal is, accordingly, listed for hearing in this Commission today on 20.05.2026 but none of the parties are present.

This Commission observes that there is no record of the First Appellate Authority's taking any action on the appeal.

The First Appellate Authority, being well versed with the functioning of the department, ought to have adjudicated the appeal and passed a suitable order in speaking form stating therein the reasons for his decision. In doing so, the FAA, as the quasi-judicial authority under the RTI Act, ought to have heard both the parties and passed a reasonable order on merit.

In the instant case, the First Appellate Authority, having not adjudicated on the appeal as mandated under section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commission deems it appropriate to remand the appeal to the First Appellate Authority, for adjudication. The First Appellate Authority, being the officer senior in rank to the PIO and well versed with the knowledge of the functioning of the department, shall apply his mind and go into the aspects like what kind of information was sought by the appellant in his application, whether the same was and could be provided or whether the same is exempted under the relevant provisions of section 8 and 9 of the RTI Act and pass a speaking order giving justification for his decision.

This appeal is, accordingly, remanded to the First Appellate Authority, the Superintending Engineer (RWD) Itanagar, Capital Circle, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh for adjudication and passing an appropriate order within 4(four) weeks from the date of receipt of this order. The appellant, may if dissatisfied or aggrieved by the decision of the First Appellate Authority, prefer his 2nd appeal before this Commission within 90 days for which no cost need be paid.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on this 20th May, 2026.

Sd/-
(S. TSERING BAPPU)
State Information Commissioner,
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo No. APIC-21/2026 / 848 Dated Itanagar, the 21 May, 2026

Copy to:

1. The Superintending Engineer (RWD), Govt. of A.P Circle Itanagar, the First Appellate Authority (F.A.A) for information and compliance.
2. The PIO, o/o the EE (PWD), Papum Poma Division, Papum Pare District (A.P) (Pin code-791112), Itanagar for information.
3. Shri Nabam Tadap, PO-NIT Jote, PS-Balijan, Papum Pare District (Pin code-791113), (Phone No. 9436013355/7085999455) for information.
4. The Computer Programmer/Computer Operator for uploading on the website of APIC, please.
5. Office Copy.
6. S/Copy.

P. Chingizilov
Registrar/ Deputy Registrar
APIC, Itanagar

Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar