



सत्यमेव जयते



सूचना का
अधिकार
RIGHT TO
INFORMATION



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION
ITANAGAR**

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF MAJOR GENERAL JARKEN GAMLIN, AVSM, SM,
VSM (RETD), STATE CHIEF INFORMATION COMMISSIONER**

No.APIC-264/A/2026

Dated, Itanagar the 12th May' 2026

Appeal Under Section 19(3) RTI Act, 2005

Appellant: Shri Gichik Tadik, Dikrong Colony, Nirjuly, Near Govt. Middle School Dikrong, Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN- 791109, (m) 6909425139.

Vs

Respondent: Er. Tare Murtem, PIO -cum- EE(PWD), Tali Division, Kra Daadi District, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN- 791118.

ORDER

- 1). This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 filed by Shri Gichik Tadik, Dikrong Colony, Nirjuly, Near Govt. Middle School Dikrong, Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh, for non-furnishing of information by the PIO -cum- EE(PWD), Tali Division, Kra Daadi District, Arunachal Pradesh, as sought by the Appellant under section 6(1) of RTI Act, 2005 vide Form-A Dated 27/12/2025 regarding **Special Assistance to States for Capital Investment-2024-25**.
- 2). **The 1st hearing was held on 12th May' 2026.** Er. Tare Murtem, PIO -cum- EE(PWD), Tali Division, Kra Daadi District, Arunachal Pradesh, was represented by Shri Gem Dol, UDC, o/o of the EE (PWD) Tali Division. The Appellant Shri Gichik Tadik appeared in person before the Court.
- 3). The representative of the PIO informed the Commission that the application of the Appellant was not traceable in the office, so the Applicant was asked to submit a fresh application.
- 4). The Appellant with documentary evidence submitted that application had been delivered and received in the office of the PIO. First Appeal had also been made, but got no response from the FAA also.
- 5). The Commission provided a copy of the Appellant's Form-A dated 27/12/2025 to the representative of the PIO during the court hearing. The Commission giving benefit of doubt directed the PIO to furnish all the available information to the Appellant within fifteen days from the date of issue of this order. In case information as sought were not available in his office, the PIO should give justifiable reasons in writing to the Appellant under intimation to the Commission. Failing to comply with the direction of the Commission could result in the PIO being penalized heavily and directed to compensate the Appellant under the provisions of the RTI Act 2005.

- 6). The Commission cautioned the PIO that either the PIO or the APIO should attend the court hearing. If not, a person having full knowledge of the subject matter should be deputed to attend the hearing. The PIO would be liable to legal action in the future hearing if any such omission occurs.
- 7). The Commission also asked the Appellant to receive information from the PIO and intimate his satisfaction or dissatisfaction.
- 8). The next date of hearing would be decided on the basis of intimation from the Appellant. In case the Appellant failed to intimate to the Commission within ten days from the expiry of above time given to the PIO, the case would be disposed of.

Order copies be issued to all the parties.

Sd/-

[Major General Jarken Gamlin, AVSM, SM, VSM (Retd)],
State Chief Information Commissioner
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission

Itanagar

Memo No. APIC-264/A/2026

1473

Dated, Itanagar the 19 May' 2026

Copy to:

1. The FAA-cum-Chief Engineer, Central Zone, PWD, Yachuli, Key Panyor District, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN- 791120, for information please.
2. Er. Tare Murtem, PIO -cum- EE(PWD), Tali Division, Kra Daadi District, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN- 791118 for compliance.
3. Shri Gichik Tadik, Dikrong Colony, Nirjuly, Near Govt. Middle School Dikrong, Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN- 791109, (m) 6909425139 for compliance.
4. Computer Programmer, APIC, Itanagar, to upload in APIC Website.
5. Case file.

P. Raj
28/05/26

Registrar / Dy. Registrar

Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission

Itanagar

Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission

Itanagar