



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION
ITANAGAR**

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF MAJOR GENERAL JARKEN GAMLIN, AVSM, SM,
VSM (RETD), STATE CHIEF INFORMATION COMMISSIONER**

No.APIC-435/A/2026

Dated, Itanagar the 18th August' 2026

Appeal Under Section 19(3) RTI Act, 2005

Appellant: Shri Bagemso Mitti, New Hatiduba, P.P, & P.S-Sunpura, Lohit District, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN-792001 (M) 8837021474.

Vs

Respondent: Er. Sofrang Hakung, PIO-cum-EE (WRD), Water Resources Division, Tezu, Lohit District, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN- 792001.

ORDER

1). This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 filed by Shri Bagemso Mitti, New Hatiduba, P.P, & P.S-Sunpura, Lohit District, Arunachal Pradesh, for non-furnishing of information by the PIO-cum-EE (WRD), Water Resources Division, Tezu, Lohit District, Arunachal Pradesh, as sought by the Appellant under section 6(1) of RTI Act, 2005 vide Form-A Dated 06/01/2026 regarding ongoing and completed Embankment works, maintenance fund of said work executed for flood protection against Tezu Nallah and other part of Tezu under Lohit District for the period 2020-21 to 2025-26.

2). **The 1st hearing was held on 18th August' 2026.** Er. Sofrang Hakung, PIO-cum-EE (WRD), Water Resources Division, Tezu, Lohit District, Arunachal Pradesh and the Appellant Shri Bagemso Mitti appeared before the court through online video conferencing.

3). The Appellant informed the Commission that embezzlement of public money had been going on for years in the name of flood protection works in the Tezu, Lohit District, so he had sought information regarding the ongoing and completed embankment works under Lohit District. However, the PIO failed to furnish information as sought by him.

4). The PIO submitted that the information sought by the Appellant was voluminous and the Appellant, therefore, had been asked to seek specific information. However, the Appellant failed to do so and hence, information could not be furnished.

5). The Commission observed that the Appellant had sought information for six financial years each containing forty five points. It was viewed that the information sought were voluminous. The Commission further observed that if the Appellant was seeking information in public interest to prove mismanagement of fund, he could do so with specific information rather than listing out 45 point each for six financial years. The Commission advised the Appellant to redraw the minimum required information and submit the list to the PIO within fifteen days from the date of issue of the order under intimation to the Commission. The Commission also directed the PIO to furnish information within thirty days from the date of issue of this order.

6). The Commission also asked the Appellant to intimate his satisfaction or dissatisfaction after receipt of information.

7). The next date of hearing would be decided on the basis of intimation from the Appellant. In case the Appellant failed to redraw the list of information and intimate to the Commission within ten days from the expiry of above time given, the case would be disposed of.

Order copies be issued to all the parties.

Sd/-

[Major General Jarken Gamlin, AVSM, SM, VSM (Retd)],
State Chief Information Commissioner
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission


Itanagar

Memo No.APIC-435/A/2026

Dated, Itanagar the 21st August' 2026

Copy to:

1. Er. Sofrang Hakung, PIO-cum-EE (WRD), Water Resources Division, Tezu, Lohit District, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN- 792001 for compliance.
2. Shri Bagemso Mitti, New Hatiduba, P.P, & P.S-Sunpura, Lohit District, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN-792001 (M) 8837021474, for compliance.
3. Computer Programmer, APIC, Itanagar, to upload in APIC Website.
4. Case file.



Registrar / Dy. Registrar

Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission

Itanagar

Deputy Registrar

Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission

Itanagar,