



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**ITANAGAR.**

**An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005**  
**Case No. APIC- 805/2025.**

**APPELLANTS**  
**RESPONDENT**

: Shri Vijay Pertin and Shri Kalom Perme.  
: The PIO, o/o the CE, CSQ (PWD), Nirman Bhawan '0'  
Point Itanagar

**ORDER**

This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 received from Shri Vijay Pertin and Shei Kalom Perme for non-furnishing of below mentioned information by the PIO, o/o the CE, CSQ (PWD), Nirman Bhawan '0' Point Itanagar as sought for by them under section 6(1) (Form-A) of RTI Act, 2005 vide their application dated 11.07.2025:

**A. Particular of information:** Credentials of Shri Nun Pertin, M/S KNP Enterprise

**B. Details of information required:**

"Copy of work experience certificate submitted by Shri Nun Pertin Proprietor M/S KNP enterprises, Dambuk, Lower Dibang Valley for registration / Enlistment into class-III."

**Facts emerging from the appeal and the decision thereon:**

Records emerging from the appeal disclosed that the Appellants, Shri Vijay Pertin and Shri Kalom Perme had requested the PIO for the aforementioned information / documents in response whereof the PIO, vide his letter dt. 30.07.2025 (as reproduced below), informed the appellants that the information as sought by them are third party and disclosure of the same needs consent from the third party which can only be conveyed after getting consent from the third party concerned.

"D/Sir,

*With reference to your RTI application in form 'A' vide No. Nil dated 11/07/25 and received in this office on 11/07/25 seeking information about M/s K.N.P. Enterprises, Prop-Nun Pertin I am to furnish the following information para wise as under:*

*3(1)(1) The information sought by you are purely related to documents submitted to this office by third party and disclosure of the same needs consent from third party concerned under section 8(1)(d) 8(1)(j) of RTI Act 2005 & the same can be conveyed only after getting consent from the third party concerned.*

*This is for your kind information and necessary action please.*

Sd/-

*PIO-cum-Superintending Engineer (CSQ),  
Office of the Chief Engineer (CSQ)  
PWD, AP, Itanagar."*

The appellants, disappointed with the response of the PIO as above, preferred their 1<sup>st</sup> appeal before the Chief Engineer, CSQ (PWD), Govt. of A.P, Itanagar, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) under Section 19 (1) of RTI Act, 2005 vide their Memo of Appeal dt. 20.08.2025.

Records also reveal that the FAA conducted the hearing on 12<sup>th</sup> Sept. 2025, and disposed of the appeal as under:

**“ORDER**

1. The fact of the case is that vide No. Nil dated 11/07/2025 and received in this office on 11/07/2025, the appellant Shri Vijay Pertin sought some information pertaining to firm namely as M/s K NP Enterprises.

2. Not satisfied with the information/communication furnished by the PIO, the appellant vide No. Nil dated 20/08/2025 and received in this office on 20/08/2025 appealed to the First Appellate Authority under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act 2005,

3. The applicant is seeking to be provided with some documents submitted by the concerned firm in this office.

4. This office is of the view that the PIO should provide information / furnish relevant documents to the Appellant except those covered under section 8 (1) (d), 8 (1) (j) and 11(2) of the RTI Act, 2005.

5. As such, PIO under section 8 (1) (d), 8 (1) (j) and all relevant sections of the RTI Act 2005, is of opinion that the documents sought by the RTI applicant doesn't serve any larger public interest and has therefore decided not to disclose documents sought to the RTI applicant without the consent of the third party.

6. The hearing was Conducted on dated 12/09/2025 under the FAA (First Appellate authority) **However the applicant was absent during the hearing.**

7. However, the Appellant is at liberty to further appeal to the State Information Commissioner if not satisfied with this order.

*With this, the Appeal stands disposed off.*

Sd/-

By Order

FAA cum Chief Engineer (CSO),  
PWD, AP, Itanagar”

The appellants dissatisfied with the FAA's order as above, preferred their 2nd appeal before this Commission under Section 19 (3) of the RTI Act, 2005 vide Memo of Appeal dated 27.10.2025 on the ground that the PIO did not furnish complete information and further that the FAA did not hear the appeal.

This appeal was, accordingly, listed on 11<sup>th</sup> March, 2026 but none of the parties appeared. Taking serious view of the absence of the parties, especially, the appellants' non-appearance and presuming that the appellants have either received the requested information or that they are no longer interested in the information the appeal was closed vide order dt.11.03.2026.

This Commission, however, received letter dt.07.04.2026 (reproduced below) from one of the appellants, namely, Shri Vijay Pertin that they could not attend the hearing on 11.03.26 due to late receipt of the notice dt.16.02.2026, which was delivered to them after the hearing date, due to postal delay and accordingly, pleaded for hearing the appeal.

“R/Sir,

*With utmost reverence I am to state that sir, as we got your summon letter regarding the hearing of RTI case No. APIC-805/2025 against PIO, Chief Engineer, CSQ (PWD) Nirman Bhawan, Itanagar after the scheduled hearing date i.e, 11th March 2026 due to late doing of postal department. As such we could not attended the hearing on the given date and time. Upon that the fixed hearing date as per the summon letter was also written as 11.03.2025 Instead of 11.03.2026.*

So, I therefore, entreat you sir to take my case into special consideration for refixing of my RTI case hearing at the earliest as there were issues of late delivery of the summon letter as well as typographical mistakes from your office staffs when it comes to subject matter of year.

Thanking You

Your's faithfully,

Sd/-

Mr. Vijay Pertin,"

This Commission considered the request of the appellants as above and also verified the despatch record of the summon notice dt.16.02.2026 in the Itanagar Post Office whereupon it was found that the summon notice was booked in the Itanagar Post Office only on 07.03.2026 and delivered to them on 30.03.26, after the hearing on 11.03.2026.

This Commission, thus, noticing that the absence of the appellants in the hearing on 11.03.2026 was due to reasons beyond their control this appeal has been listed again today on 08.05.2026 to hear the parties and consider the appeal.

Today on 08.05.2026, one of the appellants, namely Shri Vijay Pertin attended the hearing through VC but due to poor net connectivity, he could not be heard properly. However, the PIO, Er. Shri Moji Padu, EE (CSQ) is present in person who produced a copy of Hon'ble Gauhati High Court order dt.30.01.2026 passed in *WP(C)/31/2026 (M/S LRT Construction Vs. The Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission and 2 Ors)* by which one of the coordinate Bench of this Commission's order dt.10.12.2025 and notice dt. 29.12.2025 thereof directing the respondent PIO, o/o the CE, (CSQ) to disclose the personal information of the petitioner Firm (M/s LRT Construction) such as the enlistment certificate, *experience certificate*, trading licences, PAN, Aadhaar, ST and PRC, has been stayed until further order.

The extract of the said order is reproduced hereunder:

"BEFORE

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BUDI HABUNG

ORDER

Date: 30.01.2026

Heard Mr. J. Jini, learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. The petitioner claims to be a registered Class-I (B & R) contractor duly enlisted with the PWD Department. By filing the present writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has challenged the impugned order dated 10.12.2025, whereby the respondent No. 1/the Arunachal Pradesh State Information Commission has directed the respondent No. 3/the Executive Engineer (CSQ)-cum-PIO, to disclose the complete personal information and documents of the petitioner to the private respondent No. 2.

3. It is contended that pursuant to an RTI application filed by the private respondent No. 2, initially the Information sought for was denied by respondent No. 3/the Executive Engineer (CSQ)-cum-PIO, by Invoking Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005. However, by the impugned order, dated 10.12.2025, respondent No. 1 has directed respondent No. 3 to disclose the personal information of the petitioner, such as enlistment certificate, *experience certificate*, trading licences, PAN, Aadhaar, ST and PRC, which, according to the petitioner, is purely personal and third-party information.

4. Issue notice.
5. Since Mr. R. Saikia, learned Standing Counsel, has accepted notice on behalf of respondent No. 1, and Ms. P. Sangeeta, learned Government Advocate, has accepted notice on behalf of respondent No. 3, no formal notice needs to be Issued to them. However, the petitioner shall furnish requisite extra copies of the writ petition to the learned Standing Counsel and the learned Government Advocate within one week from today.
6. The petitioner shall take steps for service of notice upon respondent No. 2 by Speed Post with A/D as well as by the usual process within one week from today.
  1. List the matter after 4 (four) weeks.
  2. In the meantime, the operation of the impugned order dated 10.12.2025, passed by the State Information Commission and the consequential notice dated 29.12.2025 shall remain stayed until further orders.

Sd/-Budi Habung  
JUDGE.”

This Commission notices that facts seized in the said writ petition before the Hon'ble High Court are the same as in the instant appeal before this Commission and the respondent PIO (o/o the CE, CSQ) also happens to be same.

In view of the above, this Commission deems it appropriate not to adjudicate on this appeal until the final outcome of the aforesaid pending writ petition is known and accordingly, further adjudication of this appeal stands suspended.


Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on this 8<sup>th</sup> May, 2026.

Sd/-  
(S. TSERING BAPPU)  
State Information Commissioner,  
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo No. APIC-805/2025 / 829 Dated Itanagar, the 10 May, 2026

Copy to:

3. The Chief Engineer (CSQ), (PWD), Nirman Bhawan '0' Point Itanagar, the First Appellate Authority for information.
4. The PIO, o/o the CE (CSQ) (PWD), Nirman Bhawan '0' Point Itanagar PIN: 791111 for information.
5. Shri Vijay Pertin and Shri Kalom Perme, Ithili PO/PS Roing, Lower Dibang Valley District (A.P) PIN: 792110 Contact No. 7085172418 for information.
6. The Computer Programmer/Computer Operator for uploading on the website of APIC, please.
7. Office Copy.
8. S/Copy.

  
 Registrar/ Deputy Registrar  
 APIC, Itanagar.  
 Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission  
 Itanagar