सत्यमेव जयते

सूचना का अधिकार
RIGHT TO INFORMATION

ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION
ITANAGAR

BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF MAJOR GENERAL JARKEN GAMLIN, AVSM, SM, VSM (RETD), STATE CHIEF INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

No.APIC-157/A/2026

Dated, Itanagar the 10th November' 2026

Appeal Under Section 19(3) RTI Act, 2005

Appellant: Shri Chera Taji, Village Lower Tarasso, Po/Ps Balijan, Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN-791123, (M) 8131991209.

Vs

Respondent: The PIO-cum-EE (RWD), Govt. of A.P., Rural Work Department, Papum Poma Division, Yupia, Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN-791123.

ORDER

1). This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 filed by Shri Chera Taji, Village Lower Tarasso, Po/Ps Balijan, Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh, for non-furnishing of information by the PIO-cum-EE (RWD), Govt. of A.P., Rural Work Department, Papum Poma Division, Yupia, Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh, as sought by the Appellant under section 6(1) of RTI Act, 2005 vide Form-A Dated 14/11/2025 regarding c/o Bridges over the rivers namely (1) Bormai river (2) Taraso river (3) Radaso river, Road from Tarajuli to U/Taraso (Radaso) total length 19.50km, under PMGSY Scheme, District Papum Pare, Arunachal Pradesh.

2). The 3rd hearing was held on 10th November 2026. The PIO-cum-EE (RWD), Govt. of A.P., Rural Work Department, Papum Poma Division, Yupia, Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh was represented by Shri Ngurang Niya (APIO) . The Appellant Shri Chera Taji was present in person.

3). The Appellant submitted that new DPR of the projects and other information as sought at Form-A had not been furnished by the PIO.

4). The PIO submitted that the information sought were part of ongoing projects. Further, the government had extended the date of completion to March 2027. Therefore, some of the information sought could not be furnished.

5). The Commission directed the PIO to share copy of order/notification for extended date of completion of the projects with the Appellant and the Commission. Further, the PIO was directed to give justifiable reasons, point wise, in writing for those information which were not available.

6). The Commission directed the Appellant to visit the office of the PIO and collect copy of extension order and other remaining information.

Contd..p..2

7). The Commission also asked the Appellant to intimate his satisfaction or dissatisfaction after receipt of information.

7).The next course of action would be decided on the basis of intimation from the Appellant. In case the Appellant failed to intimate to the Commission within fifteen days from the date of this hearing, the case would be disposed of.

Order copies be issued to all the parties.

Sd/-

[Major General Jarken Gamlin, AVSM, SM, VSM (Retd)],
State Chief Information Commissioner
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar

Memo No.APIC-157/A/2026/ 057 **Dated, Itanagar the** 13 **November' 2026**

Copy to:

1. The PIO-cum-EE (RWD), Govt. of A.P., Rural Work Department, Papum Poma Division, Yupia, Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN- 791123 for compliance.
2. Shri Chera Taji, Village Lower Tarasso, Po/Ps Balijan, Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN-791123, (M) 8131991209 for compliance.
3. Computer Programmer, APIC, Itanagar, to upload in APIC Website.
4. Case file.

Registrar / Dy. Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar

Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar