



ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION
ITANAGAR.

An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005

Case No. APIC- 197/2026.

APPELLANT : Shri Tapir Mize and Shri Tashi Pangu, Upper Niti Vihar.
RESPONDENT : The PIO, o/o the EE (WRD), Namsai Division, Namsai District.

ORDER

This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 received from Shri Tapir Mize and Shri Tashi Pangu for non-furnishing of below mentioned information by the PIO, o/o the EE (WRD), Namsai Division, Namsai District as sought for by them under section 6(1) (Form-A) of RTI Act, 2005 vide their application dated 27.09.2025.

A. Particular of information: seeking information under RTI Act, 2005 in respect of PMKSY Scheme.

B. Details of information required:

Part-A-PMKSY

1. Furnish CTCs of lists of works/projects sanctioned from 130 AIBP Schemes under PMKSY Schemes for Namsai Division.
2. Provide list wise schemes sanctioned from 604 nos of SMI Schemes under PMKSY Scheme for Namsai Division.
3. Provide complete list of Tube Wells/Dug Wells sanctioned from 473 Nos Ground Water Schemes and 519 Nos Tubewell & Dug well under PMKSY Scheme for Namsai Division.
4. Provide copies of NITS of all the PMKSY schemes sanctioned for Namsai Division till date.
5. Provide copies of letters of acceptance (LOA) given to the winning firms of all the PMKSY Projects implemented under Namsai WRD Division.
6. Provide copies of Scheme-Wise LoC of fund received against PMKSY Scheme till date.
7. Provide copies of fund utilization certificate in standard format of all fund received against PMKSY Schemes till date.
8. Provide work status of all the PMKSY Scheme under Namsai Division.
9. Provide copies of completion certificate of all the PMKSY projects that completed till date.
10. Provide copies of PFMS payment vouchers made to the contractor firms of all the PMKSY Scheme.

Part-A- RIDF/SIDF

1. Furnish CTCs of NITs of following works implemented under Namsai Division.

Sl. No.	Name of PMKSY (HKK) projects	Sanctioned amount Rs. in lakhs
1	Flood Control works at various location on the right bank of Noa-Dehing river in Lekang, Ph-I (RIDF).	1500.00
2	Flood Protection and sheet piling work at Upper Deobeel under Namsai Ph-I (RIDF).	1006.00
3	Flood protection works on left bank of Kamlang Tributary at Alubari from chainage 0.00 M to 450.00 M (State Plan).	300.00

4	Flood protection works on left bank of Kamlang Tributary at Alubari from chainage 450.00 M to 900.00 M (State Plan).	300.00
5	Flood protection works on Tributary at Alubari from 1350.00 M (State Plan). left bank of Kamlang chainage 900.00 M to 1350.00(State Plan).	300.00
6	Flood protection work at right bank of Tengapani River (Narayan Ghat)	200.00
7	Flood protection work at Mengkein Miri (State Plan).	100.00
8	Flood Protection work with CC lining at Rangalibeel under Lekang Circle (State Plan).	80.00
9	C/o indoor sports stadium at Chongkham Phase-II (on-going) (State Plan).	160.00

2. Provide copies of letters of acceptance (LOA) along with copies of agreement between the Executing Agency and the Contract Winning Firm Projects.
3. Provide copies of Scheme-Wise LoC of fund received against above-mentioned Projects Scheme till date.
4. Provide copies of fund utilization certificate in standard format of al fund received against above-mentioned projects till date.
5. Provide work status of all the above-mentioned projects.
6. Provide copies of completion certificate of the above-mentioned projects.

Brief facts emerging from the appeal :

Records emerging from the appeal disclose that the appellants, Shri Tapir Mize and Shri Tashi Pangu had requested the PIO o/o the EE (WRD), Namsai Division, Namsai District, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, for the aforementioned information/documents but they could not obtain the information which prompted them to file their 1st appeal before the Chief Engineer (WRD), Eastern Zone Miao Changlang, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) vide Memo of Appeal dt.15.01.2026 .

Records also disclose that the FAA conducted hearing on 23rd Jan 2026 and vide his order of even dated directed the PIO to furnish the requested documents as sought for by the appellants in Form-A and agreed to by the PIO, within 15(fifteen) days.

However, the appellants, apparently, failed to obtain the requested documents from the PIO despite the FAA's order and as such the appellants preferred their 2nd appeal before this Commission under Section 19 (3) of the RTI Act. 2005 vide their Memo of Appeal dt. 10.02.2026.

Hearing and decision

This appeal is, accordingly, listed for hearing in this Commission today on 22nd May, 2026 wherein the appellants, Shri Tapir Mize and Shri Tashi Pangu are present in person while the PIO is represented by Advocate Bajongso Kri through VC.

Heard the parties.

The appellants, reiterating their demand for the requested information / documents from the PIO, pleaded for an appropriate direction to the PIO to furnish the information. The Ld. Counsel for the PIO, however, contended that the appellants were provided with some of the requested documents which were

available then vide PIO's letter dt.21.02.26 through post, a copy whereof was submitted to this Commission on 19.05.2026. The appellants, however, denied the receipt of the same. This Commission, on perusal of the replies/information furnished by the PIO, notices that against some queries under both the part-A and part-B, the replies are 'N/A' which, as per submission of the Ld. Counsel for the PIO, are due to scheme being still 'ongoing scheme' so far as the PMKSY are concerned. However, as regards, the replies to queries at Sl.No.4,5 and 6 of the part-B(RIDF/SIDF), he assured to furnish the same provided the appellants visit the o/o the PIO.

This Commission, after hearing the parties and upon perusal of the documents furnished by the PIO vide letter dt.21.02.26, handed over the said documents to the appellant with a direction that they shall visit the o/o the PIO and collect the left out documents within 1(one) week from the date of receipt of this order and go through the requested replies / information now being handed over by this Commission and those to be collected from the o/o the PIO and report their satisfaction therewith to this Commission within 2(two) weeks thereafter failing which this appeal shall stand closed without further notice.

The PIO shall also furnish appropriate replies/information, instead of 'N/A' which is not accepted as a specific replies/information under the RTI regime. It is, however, made clear that as required by section -7(8)(i) of the RTI Act when an information is denied to the applicant, the reason thereof has to be communicated to the applicant to his satisfaction. And as mandated by section-18(3)(c) of the RTI Act, 2005 and under rule- 5(vi) of the AP Information Commission (Appeal Procedure) Rules, 2005, the submission/reply of the PIO has to be declared/supported by way of an affidavit.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on this 22nd May, 2-026.

Sd/-
(S. TSERING BAPPU)
State Information Commissioner,
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo No. APIC-197/2026 / 8813 Dated Itanagar, the 26 May, 2026

Copy to:

1. The Chief Engineer (WRD), Eastern Zone Miao Changlang, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) for information and ensuring compliance by the PIO.
2. The PIO, o/o the EE (WRD), Namsai Division, Namsai District PIN: 792103 for information and compliance..
3. Tapir Mize and Shri Tashi Pangu, Upper Niti Vihar PIN: 791111 Mobile No. 8132895815 for information.
4. The Computer Programmer/Computer Operator for uploading on the website of APIC, please.
5. Office Copy.
6. S/Copy.

Registrar/ Deputy Registrar
APIC, Itanagar.

Arunchal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar